TITLE V BLOCK GRANT APPLICATION FORMS (1-21) STATE: NV

APPLICATION YEAR: 2006

- FORM 1 SF424
- FORM 2 MCH BUDGET DETAILS
- FORM 3 STATE MCH FUNDING PROFILE
- FORM 4 BUDGET DETAILS BY TYPES OF INDIVIDUALS SERVED AND SOURCES OF FEDERAL FUNDS
- FORM 5 STATE TITLE V PROGRAM BUDGET AND EXPENDITURES BY TYPES OF SERVICES
- FORM 6 NUMBER AND PERCENTAGE OF NEWBORN AND OTHERS SCREENED, CASE CONFIRMED, AND TREATED
- FORM 7 NUMBER OF INDIVIDUALS SERVED (UNDUPLICATED) UNDER TITLE V
- FORM 8 DELIVERIES AND INFANTS SERVED BY TITLE V AND ENTITLED TO BENEFITS UNDER TITLE XIX
- FORM 9 STATE MCH TOLL-FREE TELEPHONE LINE DATA
- Form 10 Title V Maternal and Child Health Services Block Grant State Profile for FY 2004
- FORM 11 NATIONAL AND STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURES
- FORM 12 NATIONAL AND STATE OUTCOME MEASURES
- FORM 13 CHARACTERISTICS DOCUMENTING FAMILY PARTICIPATION IN CHILDREN WITH SPECIAL HEALTH CARE NEEDS
- FORM 14 LIST OF MCH PRIORITY NEEDS
- FORM 15 TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE (TA) REQUEST AND TRACKING
- FORM 16 STATE PERFORMANCE/OUTCOME MEASURE DETAIL SHEETS
- FORM 17 HEALTH SYSTEM CAPACITY INDICATORS (01 THROUGH 04,07,08) MULTI-YEAR DATA
- FORM 18
 - O MEDICAID AND NON-MEDICAID COMPARISON
 - O MEDICAID ELIGIBILITY LEVEL (HSCI 06)
 - O SCHIP ELIGIBILITY LEVEL (HSCI 06)
- FORM 19
 - O GENERAL MCH DATA CAPACITY (HSCI 09A)
 - O ADOLESCENT TOBACCO USE DATA CAPACITY (HSCI 09B)
 - O OVERWEIGHT AND OBESITY DATA CAPACITY (HSCI 09C)
- FORM 20 HEALTH STATUS INDICATORS 01-05 MULTI-YEAR DATA
- FORM 21
 - O POPULATION DEMOGRAPHICS DATA (HSI 06)
 - O LIVE BIRTH DEMOGRAPHICS DATA (HSI 07)
 - O INFANT AND CHILDREN MORTALITY DATA (HSI 08)
 - O MISCELLANEOUS DEMOGRAPHICS DATA (HSI 09)
 - O GEOGRAPHIC LIVING AREA DEMOGRAPHIC DATA (HSI 10)
 - O POVERTY LEVEL DEMOGRAPHIC DATA (HSI 11)
 - O POVERTY LEVEL FOR CHILDREN DEMOGRAPHICS DATA (HSI 12)
- New State Performance and Outcome Measures for New Needs Assessment Period

APPLICATION FOR FED	ERAL ASSISTAN	ICE 2	2. DATE SUBMI	TTED		APPLICANT IDENTIFIER		
1. TYPE OF SUBMISSION:		[3	B. DATE RECEI	VED BY STATE		STATE APPLICATION IDENTIFIER		
Application Construction Non-Construction	Pre-application Construction		1. DATE RECEI	VED BY FEDERAL AGEN	ICY	FEDERAL IDENTIFIER 886000022		
	Non-Construct	ion						
5. APPLICANT INFORMATION Legal Name:			Organizationa	I I Inite				
NV Dept. of Human Resources			State Health					
Address (give city, county, state a 3427 Goni Road Suite 108 Carson City, NV 89706 County: Carson		Name and tele application (gi Name: Judith Tel Number: 7	ve area code) M. Wright	son to be	e contacted on matters involving this			
8 8 6 0 0	2	A. State B. County C. Municiple D. Township E. Interstate F. Intermunic	B. County I. State Controlled Institution of Higher Learning C. Municiple J. Private University D. Township K. Indian Tribe					
8. TYPE OF APPLICATION:				EDERAL AGENCY:	nietratio	on, Maternal and Child Health Bureau		
New Continuation F	_	_	liteattii Nesot	irces and bervices Admi	mstrati	on, waternar and office freath bureau		
If Revision, enter appropriate lette A. Increase Award B. Decrease Award C. Decrease Duration Other (specify):	er(s) in box(es) Increase Duration							
10. CATALOG OF FEDERAL DO NUMBER:	MESTIC ASSISTAN	ICE	11. DESCRIPTIVE TITLE OF APPLICANT'S PROJECT: Maternal and Child Health Services					
93 994				Olinia Ficaliti Col Vioco				
TITLE: Maternal and Child Healt] th Services Block (Grant						
12. AREAS AFFECTED BY PROstates, etc.): Statewide								
13. PROPOSED PROJECT:		14. CON	NGRESSIONAL	DISTRICTS OF:				
Start Date: Ending 10/01/2005 09/30/2		a. Applio	cant d, and 3rd Distr		b. Proje	ect d, and 3rd Distric		
15. ESTIMATED FUNDING:		<u> </u>	<u> </u>			IVE ORDER 12372 PROCESS?		
a. Federal \$ 1,9	70,403.00 ORD	ER 1237		N/APPLICATION WAS MA OR REVIEW ON	ADE AV	AILABLE TO THE STATE EXECUTIVE		
b. Applicant \$1	50,000.00 DAT b. NO	_						
c. State \$1,4	82,304.00	PRO		COVERED BY E.O. 12372 NOT BEEN SELECTED		TE EOD DEWEW		
d. Local \$	0.00	III OK P	ROGRAM HAS	NOT BEEN SELECTED	BYSIA	TE FOR REVIEW		
e. Other	0.00							
f. Program Income \$	0.00							
g. TOTAL \$ 3,6	08 709 00		ICANT DELINQ , attach an expla	UENT ON ANY FEDERAL anation Mo	_ DEBT			
DOCUMENT HAS BEEN DULY A	THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE AND BELIEF, ALL DATA IN THIS APPLICATION/PREAPPLICATION ARE TRUE AND CORRECT. THE DOCUMENT HAS BEEN DULY AUTHORIZED BY THE GOVERNING BODY OF THE APPLICANT AND THE APPLICANT WILL COMPLY BY THE ATTACHED ASSURANCES IF THE ASSISTANCE IS AWARDED.							
a. Typed Name of Authorized Rep Alex Haartz	presentative			b. Title Administrator		c. Telephone Number 775-684-4200		
d. Signature of Authorized Repres	sentative					e. Date Signed		

Previous Editions Not Usable

Standard Form 424 (REV. 4-88) Prescribed by OMB A-102

	FORM 2	<u>-</u>	
	DETAILS FOR FY 20 4 (d) and 505(a)(3)(4)]	006	
	TATE: NV		
1. FEDERAL ALLOCATION (Item 15a of the Application Face Sheet [SF 424]) Of the Federal Allocation (1 above), the amount earmarked for:			\$ 1,976,405
A.Preventive and primary care for children:			
\$592,922 (30%)			
B.Children with special health care needs:			
\$ 592,922 (30 %) (If either A or B is less than 30%, a waiver request must accompany the	ne application)[Sec. 505(a)(3)]		
C.Title V admininstrative costs:			
\$197,640 (10 %) (The above figure cannot be more than 10%)[Sec. 504(d)]			
2. UNOBLIGATED BALANCE (Item 15b of SF 424)			\$ 150,000
3. STATE MCH FUNDS (Item 15c of the SF 424)			\$ 1,482,304
4. LOCAL MCH FUNDS (Item 15d of SF 424)			\$ 0
5. OTHER FUNDS (Item 15e of SF 424)			\$ 0
6. PROGRAM INCOME (Item 15f of SF 424)			\$ 0
7. TOTAL STATE MATCH (Lines 3 through 6) (Below is your State's FY 1989 Maintainence of Effort Amount) \$ 853,034			\$ 1,482,304
8. FEDERAL-STATE TITLE V BLOCK GRAN (Total lines 1 through 6. Same as line 15g of SF 424)	T PARTNERSHIP ((SUBTOTAL)	\$ 3,608,709
9. OTHER FEDERAL FUNDS (Funds under the control of the person responsible for the administration	of the Title V program)		
a. SPRANS:	\$	0	
b. SSDI:	\$	100,000	
c. CISS:	\$	178,466	
d. Abstinence Education:	\$	286,164	
e. Healthy Start:	\$	0	
f. EMSC:	\$	0	
g. WIC:	\$ <u>4</u>	4,489,283	
h. AIDS:	\$	0	
i. CDC:	\$	866,568	
j. Education:	\$	0	
k. Other:			
Other - See Notes	\$	552,544	
Real Choices	\$	751,434	
10. OTHER FEDERAL FUNDS (SUBTOTAL of all Funds un	der item 9)		\$ 47,224,459
11. STATE MCH BUDGET TOTAL			\$ 50,833,168

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

1. Section Number: Main Field Name: WIC

Row Name: Other Federal Funds - WIC

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

The amount for WIC includes the Legislative Approved budget amount of \$44,489,283, which is an increase of \$7,246,174 from the FY 05 application. Caseload growth is the primary reason for the anticipated increased expenditures. This is an increase of 19.5% and is the result of Nevada continuing to be the fastest growing state in terms of population growth. The WIC budget is funded primarily by revenues from the U.S. Department of Agriculture and rebates from food manufacturers for infant formula and cereal.

2. Section Number: Main Field Name: CDC

Row Name: Other Federal Funds - CDC

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Programs funded by grants from the CDC include Rape Prevention and Education (\$290,253), Oral Health (\$450,000) and Core Injury Prevention (\$126,315).

3. Section Number: Main

Field Name: OtherFedFundsOtherFund
Row Name: Other Federal Funds - Other Funds

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Other federal grants within the MCH program include Children's Oral Health (HRSA \$65,000), Primary Care (BPHC \$212,056), SEARCH (BPHC \$152,000), Newborn Hearing Screening (HRSA \$77,016), aand Rape Prevention and Education (PHHS \$46,472).

The Real Choices Systems Change grant is from CMS.

STATE MCH FUNDING PROFILE

[Secs. 505(a) and 506((a)(I-3)]

	FY 2	2004	FY:	2005	FY 2	2006	
	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	
1. Federal Allocation (Line1, Form 2)	\$2,154,866	\$1,614,364	\$1,996,035	\$0	\$1,976,405	\$0	
2. Unobligated Balance (Line2, Form 2)	\$150,000	\$0	\$ 150,000	\$0	\$ 150,000	\$0	
3. State Funds (Line3, Form 2)	\$1,616,150	\$1,210,773	\$1,497,027	\$0	\$1,482,304	\$0	
4. Local MCH Funds (Line4, Form 2)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
5. Other Funds (Line5, Form 2)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
6. Program Income (Line6, Form 2)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
7. Subtotal (Line8, Form 2)	\$3,921,016	\$	\$3,643,062	\$0	\$3,608,709	\$0	
		(THE FEI	DERAL-STATE TITLE E	BLOCK GRANT PARTN	IERSHIP)		
8. Other Federal Funds (Line10, Form 2)	\$37,225,573	\$39,157,753	\$39,489,037	\$0	\$ <u>47,224,459</u>	\$0	
9. Total (Line11, Form 2)	\$41,146,589	\$41,982,890	\$ 43,132,099	\$0	\$50,833,168	\$0	
	(STATE MCH BUDGET TOTAL)						

STATE MCH FUNDING PROFILE

[Secs. 505(a) and 506((a)(I-3)]

	FY 2	2001	FY 2	2002	FY 2003		
	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	
1. Federal Allocation (Line1, Form 2)	\$1,545,737	\$ 1,895,045	\$1,545,737	\$ 1,456,330	\$1,587,216	\$1,536,682	
2. Unobligated Balance (Line2, Form 2)	\$507,988	\$0	\$ 150,000	\$0	\$ 150,000	\$0	
3. State Funds (Line3, Form 2)	\$1,159,303	\$1,421,284	\$ 1,159,303	\$1,242,248	\$1,190,412	\$1,152,512	
4. Local MCH Funds (Line4, Form 2)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
5. Other Funds (Line5, Form 2)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
6. Program Income (Line6, Form 2)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
7. Subtotal (Line8, Form 2)	\$3,213,028	\$ 3,316,329	\$ 2,855,040	\$ 2,698,578	\$	\$2,689,194	
		(THE FEI	DERAL-STATE TITLE E	BLOCK GRANT PARTI	NERSHIP)		
8. Other Federal Funds (Line10, Form 2)	\$\$	\$30,144,739	\$ 32,093,173	\$ 32,332,245	\$31,788,074	\$ 32,862,500	
9. Total (Line11, Form 2)	\$ 24,651,299	\$33,461,068	\$34,948,213	\$ 35,030,823	\$34,715,702	\$35,551,694	
	(STATE MCH BUDGET TOTAL)						

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

Section Number: Main

Field Name: FedAllocExpended Row Name: Federal Allocation Column Name: Expended

Year: 2004 Field Note:

The amount budgeted (\$2,154,866) was overstated from the amount actually awarded and available for FY 04. The award for FFY 03 was \$1,581,541 and the award for FFY 04 was \$1,996,035. Nevada state authority requirements are time consuming and there were delays in implementing programs to utilize the additional revenues available. This will not be a recurring problem as sufficient state expenditure authority is built in to the respective budgets.

Section Number: Main

Field Name: UnobligatedBalanceExpended

Row Name: Unobligated Balance Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

The budget is built to retain a \$150,000 carry forward amount each year to allow flexibility for expenditure purposes in any given state fiscal year.

Section Number: Main

Field Name: UnobligatedBalanceExpended Row Name: Unobligated Balance

Column Name: Expended

Year: 2004 Field Note:

The budget is built to retain a \$150,000 carry forward each year to allow flexibility for expenditure purposes in any given state fiscal year.

Section Number: Main

Field Name: StateMCHFundsExpended

Row Name: State Funds Column Name: Expended

Year: 2004 Field Note:

State expenditures followed the lower expenditure levels for the federal portion of the MCH program. The State maintains a \$3 State expenditure for each \$4 federal

BUDGET DETAILS BY TYPES OF INDIVIDUALS SERVED (I) AND SOURCES OF OTHER FEDERAL FUNDS (II)

[Secs 506(2)(2)(iv)]

	FY 2	2004	FY 2	2005	FY 2006		
I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	
a. Pregnant Women	\$1,235,176	\$1,148,043	\$1,446,253	\$0	\$1,432,657	\$0	
b. Infants < 1 year old	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
c. Children 1 to 22 years old	\$1,235,177	\$	\$929,734	\$0	\$920,220	\$0	
d. Children with Special Healthcare Needs	\$1,235,177	\$ 758,694	\$1,067,472	\$0	\$1,058,192	\$0	
e. Others	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
f. Administration	\$\$	\$195,714	\$199,603	\$0	\$197,640	\$0	
g. SUBTOTAL	\$3,921,016	\$2,825,137	\$3,643,062	\$0	\$3,608,709	\$0	
II. Other Federal Funds (under the c	ontrol of the person re	esponsible for admini	stration of the Title V	program).			
a. SPRANS	\$0		\$0	,	\$0		
b. SSDI	\$ 100,000		\$ 100,000		\$ 100,000		
c. CISS	\$0		\$ 100,000		\$ <u>178,466</u>		
d. Abstinence Education	\$ 286,165		\$ 286,164		\$ 286,164		
e. Healthy Start	\$0		\$0		\$0		
f. EMSC	\$0		\$0		\$0		
g. WIC	\$ 35,129,209		\$ 37,243,109		\$ 44,489,283		
h. AIDS	\$0		\$0		\$0		
i. CDC	\$ 766,538		\$ 802,753		\$ 866,568		
j. Education	\$0		\$0		\$0		
k.Other							
Other - See Notes	\$0		\$ 587,498		\$ 552,544		
Real Choices	\$0		\$ 369,513		\$ <u>751,434</u>		
CMS-Real Choices	\$369,513		\$0		\$0		
PHHS	\$46,470		\$0		\$0		
Primary Health Care	\$\$		\$0		\$0		
III. SUBTOTAL	\$ 37,225,573		\$ 39,489,037		\$ 47,224,459		

BUDGET DETAILS BY TYPES OF INDIVIDUALS SERVED (I) AND SOURCES OF OTHER FEDERAL FUNDS (II)

[Secs 506(2)(2)(iv)]

	FY:	2001	FY:	2002	FY 2003		
I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	
a. Pregnant Women	\$ 833,478	\$ 767,217	\$807,497	\$ 980,765	\$1,102,329	\$1,044,069	
b. Infants < 1 year old	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
c. Children 1 to 22 years old	\$1,391,500	\$1,088,893	\$817,217	\$	\$833,289	\$ 688,366	
d. Children with Special Healthcare Needs	\$ 833,478	\$ 1,294,835	\$1,075,754	\$ 834,590	\$ 833,289	\$	
e. Others	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
f. Administration	\$ 154,572	\$ 165,384	\$ 154,572	\$155,750	\$158,721	\$177,762	
g. SUBTOTAL	\$3,213,028	\$3,316,329	\$2,855,040	\$2,698,577	\$2,927,628	\$2,689,194	
II. Other Federal Funds (under the c	ontrol of the person r	esponsible for admini	stration of the Title V	program).			
a. SPRANS	\$0		\$0		\$0		
b. SSDI	\$100,000		\$100,000		\$ 94,111		
c. CISS	\$0		\$0		\$0		
d. Abstinence Education	\$ 157,534		\$ 157,534		\$ 157,534		
e. Healthy Start	\$0		\$0		\$0		
f. EMSC	\$0		\$0		\$0		
g. WIC	\$ 20,523,775		\$ 29,379,000		\$ 29,379,000		
h. AIDS	\$0		\$0		\$0		
i. CDC	\$\$		\$889,474		\$ 430,632		
j. Education	\$0		\$554,949		\$		
k.Other		į		1		ı	
ВРНС	\$ 212,056		\$ 357,056		\$ 364,056		
HRSA/Quentin Burdick	\$0		\$0		\$ 160,525		
TANF	\$0		\$500,000		\$		
HRSA	\$0		\$ <u>155,160</u>		\$0		
SEARCH	\$184,634		\$0		\$0		
III. SUBTOTAL	\$ 21,438,271		\$ 32,093,173		\$ 31,788,074		

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: PregWomenBudgeted Row Name: Pregnant Women Column Name: Budgeted

Year: 2006 Field Note:

The amount budgeted for FY 06 is the percentage of expenditures for this population divided by the total budgeted expenditures for FY 05. The percentage for FY 05 is 39.7%. (\$3,608,709 X .397 = \$1,432,657.

Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: Children_1_22Budgeted Row Name: Children 1 to 22 years old

Column Name: Budgeted

Year: 2006 Field Note:

The amount budgeted for FY 06 is the percentage of expenditures for this population divided by the total budgeted expenditures for FY 05. The percentage for FY 05 is 25.5%. (\$3,608,709 X .255 = \$920,220.

Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: Children_1_22Expended Row Name: Children 1 to 22 years old

Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

Expenditures did not meet the budgeted amount, but did meet the minimum requirements of 30% for expeditures for this population.

Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: Children_1_22Expended Row Name: Children 1 to 22 years old

Column Name: Expended

Year: 2004

Field Note:

Budgeted expenditures for this population were straight-lined at 30% of the anticipated grant award. Expenditures did not meet the budgeted amounts, but do meet the minimum requirements of 30% for expenditures for this population.

Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: CSHCNBudgeted Row Name: CSHCN Column Name: Budgeted Year: 2006

Field Note:

The amount budgeted for FY 06 is the percentage of expenditures for this population divided by the total budgeted expenditures for FY 05. The percentage for FY 05 is 29.3%. (\$3,608,709 X .255 = \$1,057,352. This budget total is rounded up by \$840 to match the grant application total.

Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: CSHCNExpended Row Name: CSHCN Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

Expenditures did not meet the budgeted amount, but did meet the minimum requirements of 30% for expeditures for this population.

Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: CSHCNExpended Row Name: CSHCN Column Name: Expended

Year: 2004 Field Note:

Budgeted expenditures for this population were straight-lined at 30% of the anticipated grant award. Expenditures did not meet the budgeted amounts, but do meet the minimum requirements of 30% for expenditures for this population.

Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: AdminBudgeted Row Name: Administration Column Name: Budgeted

Year: 2005 Field Note:

10% of grant total will be drawn for Administration expenditures within the allowance of the grant.

Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: AdminBudgeted Row Name: Administration Column Name: Budgeted

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Administrative expenditures are 10% of the grant application total request.

Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: AdminExpended Row Name: Administration Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

Administrative costs remained within the 10% limit for the grant. The appearance of the overrun is due to the overlapping of federal fiscal year with the state fiscal year.

STATE TITLE V PROGRAM BUDGET AND EXPENDITURES BY TYPES OF SERVICES

[Secs. 505(a)(2)(A-B) and 506(a)(1)(A-D)]

Type of Sepvice	FY 2	2004	FY:	2005	FY 2006		
TYPE OF SERVICE	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	
I. Direct Health Care Services (Basic Health Services and Health Services for CSHCN.)	\$1,686,037	\$1,170,545	\$1,384,364	\$0	\$1,494,006	\$0	
II. Enabling Services (Transportation, Translation, Outreach, Respite Care, Health Education, Family Support Services, Purchase of Health Insurance, Case Management, and Coordination with Medicaid, WIC, and Education.)	\$	\$\$	\$837,904	\$0	\$	\$0	
III. Population-Based Services (Newborn Screening, Lead Screening, Immunization, Sudden Infant Death Syndrome Counseling, Oral Health, Injury Prevention, Nutrition, and Outreach/Public Education.)	\$1,097,884	\$ 756,522	\$ 1,109,191	\$0	\$ 967,134	\$0	
IV. Infrastructure Building Services (Needs Assessment, Evaluation, Planning, Policy Development, Coordination, Quality Assurance, Standards Development, Monitoring, Training, Applied Research, Systems of Care, and Information Systems.)	\$392,102	\$325,127	\$311,603	\$0	\$415,001	\$0	
V. Federal-State Title V Block Grant Partnership Total (Federal-State Partnership only. Item 15g of SF 42r. For the "Budget" columns this is the same figure that appears in Line 8, Form 2, and in the "Budgeted" columns of Line 7 Form 3. For the "Expended" columns this is the same figure that appears in the "Expended" columns of Line 7, Form 3.)	\$3,921,016	\$2,825,137	\$3,643,062	\$0	\$3,608,709	\$0	

STATE TITLE V PROGRAM BUDGET AND EXPENDITURES BY TYPES OF SERVICES

[Secs. 505(a)(2)(A-B) and 506(a)(1)(A-D)]

Type of Ochylor	FY 2	2001	FY 2	2002	FY 2003		
TYPE OF SERVICE	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	
I. Direct Health Care Services (Basic Health Services and Health Services for CSHCN.)	\$ 1,623,520	\$ 1,946,685	\$1,513,171	\$ 1,167,243	\$ 1,718,518	\$1,018,179	
II. Enabling Services (Transportation, Translation, Outreach, Respite Care, Health Education, Family Support Services, Purchase of Health Insurance, Case Management, and Coordination with Medicaid, WIC, and Education.)	\$	\$991,582	\$	\$ 505,310	\$875,361	\$623,936	
III. Population-Based Services (Newborn Screening, Lead Screening, Immunization, Sudden Infant Death Syndrome Counseling, Oral Health, Injury Prevention, Nutrition, and Outreach/Public Education.)	\$ 587,227	\$89,541	\$ 342,605	\$ 753,171	\$ 79,046	\$ 761,622	
IV. Infrastructure Building Services (Needs Assessment, Evaluation, Planning, Policy Development, Coordination, Quality Assurance, Standards Development, Monitoring, Training, Applied Research, Systems of Care, and Information Systems.)	\$600,000	\$	\$\$228,403	\$\$	\$254,703	\$	
V. Federal-State Title V Block Grant Partnership Total (Federal-State Partnership only. Item 15g of SF 42r. For the "Budget" columns this is the same figure that appears in Line 8, Form 2, and in the "Budgeted" columns of Line 7 Form 3. For the "Expended" columns this is the same figure that appears in the "Expended" columns of Line 7, Form 3.)	\$3,213,028	\$3,316,329	\$2,855,040	\$2,698,578	\$	\$2,689,194	

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

Section Number: Main

Field Name: DirectHCBudgeted Row Name: Direct Health Care Services

Column Name: Budgeted

Year: 2005 Field Note:

The budget for Direct Services in FY 05 includes budgeted amount for Early Intervention Services (\$455,799), payments to medical providers for CSHCN clients (\$186,814 projected), the genetics contract (\$66,500), and the craniofacial contract (\$14,643). Other expenditures are projected for salaries and fringe benefits, nursing services in rural counties of Nevada and health clinics in Clark and Washoe Counties. The total amount budgeted for Direct Services in FY 05 is \$1,384,364.

Section Number: Main

Field Name: DirectHCBudgeted Row Name: Direct Health Care Services

Column Name: Budgeted

Year: 2006 Field Note:

The amount budgeted for FY 06 is the percentage of expenditures for this population divided by the total expenditures for FY 04. The percentage for FY 04 is 41.4%.

 $(\$3,608,709 \times .414 = \$1,494,006.$

Section Number: Main

Field Name: DirectHCExpended Row Name: Direct Health Care Services

Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

Expenditures of \$1,018,179 were below the budgeted amount of \$1,718,518 by \$700,339. This variance is due primarily to past practice of state expenditures for Newborn Screening (\$648,896) being reported as a Direct Health Care Service. This report includes Newborn Screening expenditures with Population Based Services.

Section Number: Main

Field Name: DirectHCExpended Row Name: Direct Health Care Services

Column Name: Expended

Year: 2004 Field Note:

The budget amount for SF 2004 exceeded the grant award available funds for this period. The budget amount is based on an award of \$2,154,866, while the federal award for FFY 03 was \$1,581,541 and for FFY 04 \$1,996,035. The expenditures of \$1,170,545 for Direct Services are consistent with historical expenditures for the given expenditure level.

Section Number: Main

Field Name: EnablingBudgeted Row Name: Enabling Services Column Name: Budgeted

Year: 2005 Field Note:

The budget for Enabling Services in FY 05 includes salary and benefits for FTE's and a new prenatal campaign designed to improve access for pregnant women to early prenatal care. Health Division will enter into contractual arrangements with local agencies statewide to provide prenatal care and referrals to other agencies for additional services needed by pregnant women.

Section Number: Main

Field Name: EnablingBudgeted Row Name: Enabling Services Column Name: Budgeted

Year: 2006 Field Note:

The amount budgeted for FY 06 is the percentage of expenditures for this population divided by the total expenditures for FY 04. The percentage for FY 04 is 20.3%.

 $(\$3,608,709 \times .203 = \$732,568.$

Section Number: Main

Field Name: EnablingExpended Row Name: Enabling Services Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003

Field Note:

Expenditures in FY03 of \$623,936 were below the budgeted amount of \$875,361 by \$251,425. Reporting parameters for FY 03 were unchanged from FY 02 and the expenditures were unable to meet the optimistic budgeted amount for FY 03. Expenditures for FY 03 were \$118,626 above the amount expended for Enabling Services in FY 02.

Section Number: Main

Field Name: EnablingExpended Row Name: Enabling Services Column Name: Expended

Year: 2004 Field Note:

The budget amount for SF 2004 exceeded the grant award available funds for this period. The budget amount is based on an award of \$2,154,866, while the federal award for FFY 03 was \$1,581,541 and for FFY 04 \$1,996,035. The expenditures of \$572,943 for Enabling Services are consistent with historical expenditures for the given expenditure level.

Section Number: Main

Field Name: PopBasedBudgeted Row Name: Population-Based Services

Column Name: Budgeted

Year: 2005 Field Note:

The budget for Population-Based Services in FY 05 includes newborn screening services and an MCH referral campaign (replacing the Baby Your Baby campaign) that will utilize new material produced by other grant funded projects (ie Real Choices funded by a CMS grant) to provide assistance to and improve access to health care and other services for women, children and adolescents.

The newborn screening expenditures will more than double in FY 05 due to higher costs of the laboratory testing contract and expanded scope of work, testing for 23 anomalies, utilizing tandem mass spectronomy technology

10. Section Number: Main

Field Name: PopBasedBudgeted Row Name: Population-Based Services

Column Name: Budgeted

Year: 2006 Field Note:

The amount budgeted for FY 06 is the percentage of expenditures for this population divided by the total expenditures for FY 04. The percentage for FY 04 is 26.8%.

Newborn screening services reported under Population-Based Services tests for 31 anomalies, utilizing tandem mass spectronomy technology that was implemented in 2004.

11. Section Number: Main

Field Name: PopBasedExpended Row Name: Population-Based Services

Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

Expenditures for Population-Based Services in FY 03 amounted to \$761,622 compared to the budgeted amount of \$79,046. This variance amounts to \$682,216. FY 03 expenditures include newborn screening that was previously budgeted as Direct Services. In addition to the newborn screening services, salaries and benefits of FTE's are prorated to Population-Based Services.

12. Section Number: Main

Field Name: PopBasedExpended Row Name: Population-Based Services

Column Name: Expended

Year: 2004 Field Note:

The budget amount for SF 2004 exceeded the grant award available funds for this period. The budget amount is based on an award of \$2,154,866, while the federal award for FFY 03 was \$1,581,541 and for FFY 04 \$1,996,035. The expenditures of \$756,522 for Population-Based Services are consistent with historical expenditures for the

13. Section Number: Main

Field Name: InfrastrBuildBudgeted

Row Name: Infrastructure Building Services

Column Name: Budgeted

Year: 2005 Field Note:

The budget for Infrastructure Building Services in FY 05 includes 100% of Administrative charges (\$199,603) and salaries and benefits for FTE's estimated to total \$112,000 in FY 05. Total budget amount for Infrastructure Building Services in FY 05 is \$311,603.

Section Number: Main

Field Name: InfrastrBuildBudgeted Row Name: Infrastructure Building Services

Column Name: Budgeted

Year: 2006 Field Note:

The amount budgeted for FY 06 is the percentage of expenditures for this population divided by the total expenditures for FY 04. The percentage for FY 04 is 11.5%.

 $(\$3,608,709 \times .115 = \$415,001.$

15. Section Number: Main

Field Name: InfrastrBuildExpended Row Name: Infrastructure Building Services

Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

Expenditures for Infrastructure Building Services amount to \$285,457 compared to the budgeted amount of \$254,703. The variance amounts to \$30,754. In past years the budget included only a portion of Administration expenditures (10%) for Infrastructure Building Services. The reporting period for FY 03 includes 100% of Administrative expenditures as Infrastructure Building Services.

Section Number: Main

Field Name: InfrastrBuildExpended Row Name: Infrastructure Building Services

Column Name: Expended

Year: 2004 Field Note:

The budget amount for SF 2004 exceeded the grant award available funds for this period. The budget amount is based on an award of \$2,154,866, while the federal award for FFY 03 was \$1,581,541 and for FFY 04 \$1,996,035. The expenditures of \$325,127 for Infrastructure Building Services are consistent with historical expenditures for the

given expenditure level.

FORM 6												
NUMBER AND PE	NUMBER AND PERCENTAGE OF NEWBORNS AND OTHERS SCREENED, CASES CONFIRMED, AND TREATED											
Sect. 506(a)(2)(B)(iii)												
STATE: NV												
Total Births by Occurrence: 34,730 Reporting Year: 2004												
Type of Screening Tests	(A Receiving at lea (1	st one Screen	(B) No. of Presumptive Positive	(C) No. Confirmed	Needing Tre Received Ti	atment that						
	No.	%	Screens	Cases (2)	No.	%						
Phenylketonuria	34,384	99	5		1	100						
Congenital Hypothyroidism	34,384	99	642	11	11	100						
Galactosemia	34,384	99	4	(1							
Sickle Cell Disease	34,384	99	8		6	100						
Other Screening	(Specify)				7							
Biotinidase Deficiency	34,384	99	17		2 2	100						
Congenital Adrenal Hyperplasia (CAH)	34,384	99	397	1	1	100						
Maple Syrup Urine Disease (MSUD)	34,384	99	0	(0							
Amino Acid Disorders	34,384	99	1		1	100						
Urea Cycle Disorders	34,384	99	1		1	100						
Organic Acidemias	34,384	99	0	(0							
Fatty Acid Oxidation Disorders	34,384	99	0	(0							
Screening Progra			n (Specify Tests	by name)								
(1) Use occurrent (2) Report only the (3) Use number of	se from resident	births.										

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

Section Number: Main Field Name: BirthOccurence

Row Name: Total Births By Occurence Column Name: Total Births By Occurence

This number is preliminary birth data from the Nevada State Birth Registry.

Section Number: Main

Field Name: Galactosemia_TreatmentNo

Row Name: Galactosemia

Column Name: Needing treatment that received treatment

Year: 2006 Field Note:

One case of Duarte Variant being monitored.

Section Number: Other Screening Types Field Name: Other Row Name: All Rows Column Name: All Columns

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Program utilizes Tamdem Mass Spectroscopy to also screen for Biotinidase Deficiency; Congenital Adrenal Hyperplasia; 5 Amino Acid Disorders (in addition to

hyperphyenlketonuria); 13 Organic Acid Disorders (in addition to Maple Syrup Urine Disease; and 6 Fatty Acid Oxidation Disorders.

Number of Individuals Served (Unduplicated) under Title V (BY Class of Individuals and Percent of Health Coverage)

[Sec. 506(a)(2)(A)(i-ii)]

STATE: NV

Reporting Year: 2004

	TITLE V		PRIMAR	Y SOURCES OF COV	'ERAGE	
Types of Individuals Served	(A) Total Served	(B) Title XIX %	(C) Title XXI %	(D) Private/Other %	(E) None %	(F) Unknown %
Pregnant Women	6,241	15.0	1.0	3.0	81.0	
Infants < 1 year old	34,387	15.0	1.0	55.0	29.0	
Children 1 to 22 years old	23,754	6.0	1.0	4.6	88.4	
Children with Special Healthcare Needs	2,383	43.0	3.0	43.0	11.0	
Others	14,195	12.0	0.6	19.0	68.0	
TOTAL	80,960					

The percentages for Title XIX, Title XXI, private insurance, none or unknown are derived from the percentages reported from BEIS and WCDHD. If those are not available, interpolated data is used based on Medicaid, Division of Insurance numbers, Census Bureau and whoever else may have information on who is financially covered.

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

Section Number: Main Field Name: PregWomen_TS
Row Name: Pregnant Women
Column Name: Title V Total Served
Year: 2006

Field Note:

Add pregnant women served by WCDHD, EOB, MCH Prenatal program, WIC and UMC.

FORM 8 DELIVERIES AND INFANTS SERVED BY TITLE V AND ENTITLED TO BENEFITS UNDER TITLE XIX (BY RACE AND ETHNICITY) [Sec. 506(A)(2)(C-D)] STATE: NV

Reporting Year: 2004

I. UNDUPLICATED COUNT BY RACE

	(A) Total All Races	(B) White	(C) Black or African American	(D) American Indian or Native Alaskan	(E) Asian	(F) Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	(G) More than one race reported	(H) Other and Unknown			
DELIVERIES											
Total Deliveries in State	34,387	28,358	2,762	419	2,520	0	0	328			
Title V Served	6,241	5,229	555	28	419	0	0	10			
Eligible for Title XIX	11,657	9,203	1,214	108	1,050	0	0	82			
INFANTS											
Total Infants in State	36,387	30,158	2,962	520	2,720	27	0	0			
Title V Served	34,297	28,358	2,762	419	2,520	0	0	238			
Eligible for Title XIX	8,377	7,012	619	100	587	7	0	52			

II. UNDUPLICATED COUNT BY ETHNICITY

				HISPANIC OR LATINO (Sub-categories by country or area of origin)				
	(A) Total NOT Hispanic or Latino	(B) Total Hispanic or Latino	(C) Ethnicity Not Reported	(B.1) Mexican	(B.2) Cuban	(B.3) Puerto Rican	(B.4) Central and South American	(B.5) Other and Unknown
DELIVERIES								
Total Deliveries in State	21,222	12,817	348	10,659	197	269	1,227	465
Title V Served	4,012	2,229	0	2,000	29	100	100	0
Eligible for Title XIX	7,113	4,200	0					4,200
INFANTS								
Total Infants in State	22,222	13,817	348					13,817
Title V Served	21,222	12,817	348					12,817
Eligible for Title XIX	5,007	3,019	52					3,019

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

Section Number: I. Unduplicated Count By Race Field Name: InfantsTitleXIX_All Row Name: Eligible for Title XIX Column Name: Total All Races Year: 2006

Field Note:
Total number of infants eligible for Title XIX unknown. Data is interpolated from numbers of children who have insurance and the census.

FORM 9 STATE MCH TOLL-FREE TELEPHONE LINE DATA FORM [Secs. 505(A)(E) AND 509(A)(B)] STATE: NV

	FY 2006	FY 2005	FY 2004	FY 2003	FY 2002
1. State MCH Toll-Free "Hotline" Telephone Number	(800) 429-2669	(800) 429-2669	(800) 429-2669	(800) 429-2669	(800) 429-2669
2. State MCH Toll-Free "Hotline" Name	MCH Campaign	MCH Campaign	Baby Your Baby	Baby Your Baby	Baby Your Baby
3. Name of Contact Person for State MCH "Hotline"	Judith Wright	Judith Wright	Judith Wright	Judith Wright	Judith Wright
4. Contact Person's Telephone Number	(775) 684-4285)	(775) 684-4285	(775) 684-4285	(775) 684-4285	(775) 684-4285
5. Number of calls received on the State MCH "Hotline" this reporting period	0		1,183	7,573	7,228

FORM 9 STATE MCH TOLL-FREE TELEPHONE LINE DATA FORM (OPTIONAL) [Secs. 505(A)(E) AND 509(A)(8)] STATE: NV

FY 2006	FY 2005	FY 2004	FY 2003	FY 2002
(866) 254-3964	866 254-3964			
Bureau of Family Health Services CSHCN	Bureau of Family Health Services			
Judith Wright	Judith Wright			
(775) 684-4285	775 684-4285			
0	0	0	0	0
	(866) 254-3964 Bureau of Family Health Services CSHCN Judith Wright	(866) 254-3964 Bureau of Family Health Services CSHCN Bureau of Family Health Services Judith Wright Judith Wright	Bureau of Family Health Services Bureau of Family Health Services	Bureau of Family Health Services Bureau of Family Health Services Judith Wright Judith Wright Judith Wright Services Services

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

Section Number: Main Field Name: calls_2

Row Name: Number of calls received On the State MCH Hotline This reporting period

Column Name: FY Year: 2004

Field Note: Baby Your Baby ended on 12/30/05. The MCH Campaign took over at that time. The same telephone number was kept; this remains the main MCH line. Through a partnership with Medicaid this number is part of an advertisement campaign currently starting in Southern Nevada where data indicates is the greatest need.

Section Number: Optional Field Name: cnumber_1

Row Name: Contact Person's telephone number

Column Name: FY Year: 2006

Year: 2006
Field Note:
This line is dedicated to CSHCN. It is being marketed through a multi-media campaign funded by the Real Choice Systems Change Grant in 2005. It is also listed on the CSHCN web site.

FORM 10 TITLE V MATERNAL & CHILD HEALTH SERVICES BLOCK GRANT STATE PROFILE FOR FY 2006 [SEC. 506(A)(1)]

STATE: NV

1. State MCH Administration:

Nevada's Title V Maternal and Child Health Program is administered through the Bureau of Family Health Services, Nevada State Health Division, Department of Health and Human Services. Programs and initiatives address areas of access to perinatal care, including preconceptual counseling, perinatal substance abuse prevention, the MCH Campaign (a multi-faceted campaign to encourage early entry into prenatal care and a medical home for infants), Children with Special Health Care Needs including CSHCN systems development, newborn screening, newborn hearing screening, birth defects registry, and multidisciplinary specialty clinics, and children and adolescents, including teen pregnancy prevention, adolescent clinics, child abuse prevention, early childhood and six to ten childhood development, and injury, rape, and oral health for the general population. The Bureau also supervises the state WIC Program and the Primary Care Development Center, which promotes access to primary care including dental care and mental health for underserved Nevadans.

2. Federal Allocation (Line 1, Form 2)	\$ 1,976,405
3. Unobligated balance (Line 2, Form 2)	\$ 150,000
4. State Funds (Line 3, Form 2)	\$ 1,482,304
5. Local MCH Funds (Line 4, Form 2)	\$ 0
6. Other Funds (Line 5, Form 2)	\$ 0
7. Program Income (Line 6, Form 2)	\$ 0
8. Total Federal-State Partnership (Line 8, Form 2)	\$ 3,608,709

9. Most significant providers receiving MCH funds:

	Oregon State Public Health Laborato	ry
	Washoe County District Health Department, Re	าด
	Huntridge Teen Clinic, Las Veg	as
	Medical Associates Sout	:h
0. Individuals served by the Title V Program (Col. A, Form 7)		
a. Pregnant Women	6,241	
b. Infants < 1 year old	34,387	
c. Children 1 to 22 years old	23,754	
d. CSHCN	2,383	
e. Others	14,195	

11. Statewide Initiatives and Partnerships:

a. Direct Medical Care and Enabling Services:

10. In a. F b. I

Nevada's MCH is moving away from direct services. Direct and Enabling services include Adolescent Clincs in Reno and Las Vegas through contracts with the Washoe County District Health Department (Reno) and the Huntridge Community-based Organization (Las Vegas). For CSHCN the program provided diagnosis and treatment for eligible children. Finally, MCH supports Community Health Nursing Services in Nevada's rural counties.

b. Population-Based Services:

Nevada's Newborn Screening Project continued in FY 04 with 99 % of newborn in the State screened for 31 disorders. Newborn hearing screening reached 94% of infants born in mandated hospitals. Case management for children with metabolic disorders was provided through multidisciplinary metabolic clinics and the state CSHCN program. Genetic services were also provided for children in Early Intervention Services. The MCH Campaign started in FY 04. This is a multi-faceted campaign that includes a multi-media public information component to encourage early and continuous prenatal care and a medical home for the infant, a toll-free information and referral line, and contracts with local community-based providers in Reno and Las Vegas who will see pregnant women who have no insurance coverage. The State Health Division continued to be the lead agency for teen pregnancy prevention. Under this initiative the Governor's Youth Advisory Council continued to provide an assembly on abstinence for middle school-aged

c. Infrastructure Building Services:

(max 2500 characters)

The State Oral Health Office, which is funded by CDC, includes surveillance as a major part of the program. It published county profiles and sealant studies in FY 04. Work continued on the Birth Defects Registry, which remained passive in FY 04 as no one applied for the position which is funded through an increase in fees for birth certificates (which also support newborn screening). The Injury Prevention initiative completed Nevada's Injury Data Surveillance Project. SSDI as in past years lead the completion of required MCH Block Grant reporting and continued development of the data warehouse in the Center for Health Data and Research (CHDR). The CHDR includes close to 30 data bases that can be linked for analysis.

12. The primary Title V Program contact person:

13. The children with special health care needs (CSHCN) contact person:

Name	Judith M. Wright	Name	Gloria Deyhle, RN
Title	Bureau Chief, Bureau of Family Health Services	Title	Health Program Specialist II, BFHS
Address	3427 Goni Road, # 108	Address	3427 Goni Road, # 108

City	Carson City	City	Carson City
State	NV	State	NV
Zip	89706	Zip	89706
Phone	775 684-4285	Phone	775 684-4285
-ax	775 684-4245	Fax	775 684-4245
Email	jwright@nvhd.state.nv.us	Email	gdeyhle@nvhd.state.nv.us
Veb	http://health2k.state.nv.us/bfhs/	Web	http://www.health2k.state.nv.us/cshch/

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

None

FORM 11 TRACKING PERFORMANCE MEASURES [Secs 485 (2)(2)(B)(III) AND 486 (A)(2)(A)(III)] STATE: NV

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 01

The percent of newborns who are screened and confirmed with condition(s) mandated by their State-sponsored newborn screening programs (e.g. phenylketonuria and hemoglobinopathies) who receive appropriate follow up as defined by their State.

		Annual O	bjective and Perfori	mance Data	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective				99	99
Annual Indicator			98.3	99.6	99.0
Numerator			32,253	33,036	34,384
Denominator			32,798	33,168	34,730
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Provisional	Provisional
		Annual O	bjective and Perfori	mance Data	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective	99	99	99	99	99
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only th not required for futu	e Objectives for the a re year data.	oove years. Numerat	or, Denominator and	Annual Indicators ar

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 02

The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 years whose families partner in decision making at all levels and are satisfied with the services they receive. (CSHCN survey)

		<u>An</u>	nual Objective and Perfo	rmance Data	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective				55	58
Annual Indicator			54.6	54.6	54.6
Numerator		_			
Denominator					
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final
		An	nual Objective and Perfe	rmanos Data	

		Annual (<u>Objective and Perfo</u>	rmance Data	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective	60	65	70	80	85

Denominator

Numerator Please fill in only the Objectives for the above years. Numerator, Denominator and Annual Indicators are not required for future year data.

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 03					
The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh	o receive coordinate	ed, ongoing, compreh	nensive care within a r	nedical home. (CSHC	CN Survey)
			Objective and Perfor		
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective				50	5
Annual Indicator			49.1	49.1	49.
Numerator					
Denominator					
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final
		Annual (Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective	55	60	65	70	7:
Numerator			above years. Numerat	ioi, Denominator and	Allitual Illulcators
Denominator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04	Tiot required for rutt		or public insurance to	pay for the services the	nev need (CSHCN
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh	Tiot required for rutt	dequate private and/o			ney need. (CSHCN
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh	ose families have ac	dequate private and/o	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh Survey)	ose families have ac	dequate private and/o		mance Data 2003	2004
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh Survey) Annual Performance Objective	ose families have ac	dequate private and/o	Objective and Perfor 2002	mance Data 2003	2004
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh Survey) Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	ose families have ac	dequate private and/o Annual (2001	Objective and Perfor 2002 55.4	mance Data 2003	2004
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh Survey) Annual Performance Objective	ose families have ac	dequate private and/o	Objective and Perfor 2002 55.4	mance Data 2003	2004
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh Survey) Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	ose families have ac	dequate private and/o Annual (2001	Objective and Perfor 2002 55.4	mance Data 2003 56 55.4	2004 55.
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh Survey) Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	ose families have ac	dequate private and/o Annual (2001	Objective and Perfor 2002 55.4	mance Data 2003	2004
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh Survey) Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	ose families have ac	dequate private and/o	Objective and Perfor 2002 55.4	mance Data 2003 56 55.4 Final	2004 55.
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh Survey) Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	ose families have ac	dequate private and/o	Objective and Perfor 2002 55.4	mance Data 2003 56 55.4 Final	2004 55.
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh Survey) Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	ose families have ac	Annual (Objective and Perfor 2002 55.4 Objective and Perfor	### State	2004 55. 55.
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh Survey) Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000 2005 60	Annual of Annual	Objective and Perfor 55.4 Objective and Perfor 2007	7 56 55.4 Final 7 7 8 7 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	2004 55. 55. Final 2009

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 05							
Percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 whose Survey)	families report the c	community-based s	ervice systems are	organized so th	ey can use	e them easi	ly. (CSHC
		Annua	al Objective and P	erformance Da	<u>ta</u>		
	2000	2001	2002	2003		2004	
Annual Performance Objective			_		75		78
Annual Indicator			7	5.1	75.1		75.1
Numerator							
Denominator				<u> </u>			
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final		Final	
		Annua	al Objective and P	erformance Da	<u>ta</u>		
	2005	2006	2007	2008		2009	
Annual Performance Objective	80	8	2	85	86		87
Annual Indicator	Please fill in only th	ne Objectives for th	ie above vears. Nur	merator Denom	inator and	Annual Ind	icators are
Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for fut		e above years. Nur	merator, Denom	inator and	Annual Ind	icators are
			ie above years. Nur	merator, Denom	inator and	Annual Ind	icators are
Numerator Denominator			e above years. Nur	merator, Denom	inator and	Annual Ind	icators are
Numerator Denominator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06	not required for fut	ure year data.		· 			icators are
Numerator Denominator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06	not required for fut the services neces:	ure year data. sary to make transi	ition to all aspects o	of adult life. (CS)	HCN Surve	ey)	icators are
Numerator Denominator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received	not required for fut the services neces:	ure year data. sary to make transi Annua 2001	ition to all aspects o	of adult life. (CS	HCN Surve		
Numerator Denominator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06	not required for fut the services neces:	ure year data. sary to make transi	ition to all aspects o	of adult life. (CS)	HCN Surve	ey)	icators are
Numerator Denominator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received	not required for fut the services neces:	ure year data. sary to make transi Annua 2001	ition to all aspects on the all Objective and Posting 2002	of adult life. (CS)	HCN Surve	ey)	
Numerator Denominator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received Annual Performance Objective	not required for fut the services neces:	sary to make transi Annua 2001	tion to all aspects on all Objective and Position 2002	of adult life. (CS) erformance Da 2003	HCN Surve	ey)	10
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	not required for fut the services neces:	ure year data. sary to make transi Annua 2001	ition to all aspects on all Objective and Poston 2002	of adult life. (CS) erformance Da 2003	HCN Surve	ey)	10
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	not required for fut the services neces:	ure year data. sary to make transi Annua 2001	ition to all aspects on all Objective and Poston 2002	of adult life. (CS) erformance Da 2003	HCN Surve	ey)	10
Numerator Denominator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	not required for fut the services neces:	ure year data. sary to make transi Annua 2001	ition to all aspects on all Objective and Poston 2002	of adult life. (CS) erformance Da 2003	HCN Surve	⊋y) 2004	10
Numerator Denominator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	not required for fut the services neces:	sary to make transi Annua 2001	ition to all aspects on all Objective and Poston 2002	of adult life. (CS) erformance Da 2003 5.8 Final	HCN Surve	⊋y) 2004	10
Numerator Denominator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	not required for fut the services neces:	sary to make transi Annua 2001	al Objective and P	of adult life. (CS) erformance Da 2003 5.8 Final	HCN Surve	⊋y) 2004	10
Numerator Denominator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	not required for fut the services necess 2000 2005	sary to make transi Annua 2001	al Objective and P	of adult life. (CS) erformance Da 2003 5.8 Final	HCN Surve	2004 Final	10
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	not required for fut the services neces: 2000 2005 5.8	sary to make transi Annua 2001 Annua 2006	al Objective and P	of adult life. (CS) erformance Da 2003 5.8 Final erformance Da 2008	HCN Surve ta 6 5.8 ta 20	2004 Final	10 5.8 25

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 07 Percent of 19 to 35 month olds who have received full schedule of ag	o conrentiate imm	nizatione a	against Mag	oloo Mumpe Pubolle	. Polio Dir	shthoria Ta	stanue Da	rtuccic
aemophilus Influenza, and Hepatitis B.	е арргорнасе шппс	II IIZALIUI IS d	agaiiisi iviea	sies, muitips, ixubelio	t, ۲۵۱۱۵, ک.۱	Mulena, i	dianus, re	Ilussis,
			Annual C	Objective and Perfor		ta		
A constant of the state of the	2000	2001	70	2002	2003	70	2004	71
Annual Performance Objective			78	79		78	-	7:
Annual Indicator			66.0	74.4		74.4		74.
Numerator		-	28,692	33,307				31,16
Denominator			43,473	44,768				41,82
Is the Data Provisional or Final?					Final		Provisio	nal
			Annual C	Nhipative and Barfor	manaa Da	40		
	2005	2006	Annual C	Objective and Perfor 2007	2008	<u>ıta</u>	2009	
Annual Performance Objective	75		76	77		79		8
Annual Indicator								
Annual Indicator	Discount CHES and I	the Objecti	ves for the a	above years. Numera	tor, Denom	ninator and	Annual Inc	dicators
Numerator	Please fill in only not required for fu	the Objecti ture year d	ves for the a lata.	above years. Numera	tor, Denom	inator and	Annual Inc	dicators
	Please fill in only not required for fu	the Objecti ture year d	ves for the a lata.	above years. Numera	tor, Denom	ninator and	Annual Inc	dicators
Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only not required for fu	the Objecti ture year d	ves for the a lata.	above years. Numera	tor, Denom	ninator and	Annual Inc	dicators
Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 08	Please fill in only not required for fu	the Objecti ture year d	ves for the a	above years. Numera	tor, Denom	ninator and	Annual Inc	licators
Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 08	Please fill in only not required for fu	the Objecti ture year d	lata.				Annual Inc	dicators
Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 08	Please fill in only not required for fu	the Objecti ture year d	lata.	Objective and Perfor			Annual Inc	dicators
Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 08	Please fill in only not required for fu	ture year d	lata.	Objective and Perfor	mance Da			
Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 e rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years.	Please fill in only not required for fu	ture yéar d	Annual C	Objective and Perfor	mance Da	<u>ta</u>		2
Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 e rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	Please fill in only not required for fu	2001	Annual C	Objective and Perfor 2002	mance Da	<u>ta</u>		26.
Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 e rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	2000 37 33.8 1,270	2001	Annual C 34 30.6	2002 33 27.1	mance Da	30 27.5		26 1,26
Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 e rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	2000 37 33.8 1,270	2001	Annual C 34 30.6 1,214	2002 33 27.1 1,174	mance Da	30 27.5 1,257		2 26. 1,26
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 e rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 37 33.8 1,270	2001	Annual C 34 30.6 1,214	2002 33 27.1 1,174	mance Da 2003	30 27.5 1,257	2004	2 26. 1,26
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 e rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 37 33.8 1,270	2001	Annual C 34 30.6 1,214 39,689	2002 33 27.1 1,174	rmance Da 2003 Final	30 27.5 1,257 45,749	2004	2 26. 1,26
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 e rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000 37 33.8 1,270 2005	2001	Annual C 34 30.6 1,214 39,689	2002 33 27.1 1,174 43,328 20bjective and Perfor 2007	rmance Da 2003 Final	30 27.5 1,257 45,749	2004	26. 1,26 47,36
Rumerator Denominator ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 The rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective	2000 2000 37 33.8 1,270 37,579 2005	2001	Annual C 34 30.6 1,214 39,689	2002 33 27.1 1,174 43,328 Objective and Perfor	Final	30 27.5 1,257 45,749	2004 Final	2 26. 1,26 47,36
Rumerator Denominator ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 The rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	2000 37 33.8 1,270 37,579 2005	2001 2006	Annual C 34 30.6 1,214 39,689 Annual C	2002 33 27.1 1,174 43,328 20bjective and Perfor 2007	Final 2008	30 27.5 1,257 45,749	2004 Final	26. 1,26 47,36
Rumerator Denominator ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 The rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	2000 2000 37 33.8 1,270 37,579 2005 Please fill in only not required for further fill in only not req	2001 2006	Annual C 34 30.6 1,214 39,689 Annual C	2002 33 27.1 1,174 43,328 20bjective and Perform 2007 24	Final 2008	30 27.5 1,257 45,749	2004 Final	26 1,2 47,3

ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 09					
ercent of third grade children who have received protective sealants	on at least one peri	manent molar toot	h.		
			al Objective and Perfo		
Annual Burfamung all hards	2000	2001	2002 11 42	2003 43	2004
Annual Performance Objective		•	<u> </u>		
	37.5	37	_	32.5	32.
Numerator	11,209	10,76	50 11,179		
Denominator	29,891	28,69	29,810		
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Provisional
	2005	2006	al Objective and Perfo 2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective			38 38		3
Annual Indicator		-	_		
Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fut	he Objectives for t ure year data.	he above years. Numera	ator, Denominator and	d Annual Indicators
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 10	Please fill in only the not required for fut	ure year data.	,	ator, Denominator and	d Annual Indicators
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 10	Please fill in only the not required for fut	ure year data.	,		d Annual Indicators
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 10	Please fill in only the not required for fut	ure year data.	ildren.		d Annual Indicators
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 10	Please fill in only the not required for fut motor vehicle crash	es per 100,000 ch Annu 2001	ildren. al Objective and Perfo	rmance Data 2003	2004
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by	Please fill in only the not required for fut motor vehicle crash	ure year data. es per 100,000 ch Annu 2001	ildren. al Objective and Perfo 2002	rmance Data 2003	2004
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 e rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	Please fill in only the not required for fut motor vehicle crash	es per 100,000 ch 2001 4	ildren. al Objective and Perfo 2002 .2 4.2	rmance Data 2003	2004
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 e rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for fut motor vehicle crash 2000 4.5	es per 100,000 ch 2001 4	ildren. al Objective and Perfo 2002 .2 4.2 .3 2.8 10 13	2003 3 4.3	2004 4.
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 e rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	motor vehicle crash 2000 4.5 6.4 26 404,202	es per 100,000 ch 2001 4	ildren. al Objective and Perfo 2002 .2 4.2 .3 2.8 10 13	2003 3 4.3 21	2004 4.
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 e rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	motor vehicle crash 2000 4.5 6.4 26 404,202	es per 100,000 ch 2001 4	ildren. al Objective and Perfo 2002 .2 4.2 .3 2.8 10 13	2003 3 4.3 21 483,936	2004 4. 2 497,67
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 e rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fut motor vehicle crash 2000 4.5 6.4 26 404,202	es per 100,000 ch 2001 4 22 432,49	al Objective and Performance 2002 .2 4.2 .3 2.8 .10 13 .90 466,923	2003 3 4.3 21 483,936 Final	2004 4. 2 497,67 Final
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 e rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	Please fill in only the not required for fut not not required for fut not not required for fut not not not not not not not not not no	es per 100,000 ch 2001 4 23 432,49 Annu 2006	al Objective and Performance 2002 .2	2003 3 4.3 21 483,936 Final Final 2008	2004 4. 2 497,67 Final
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 ne rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	Please fill in only the not required for fut not not required for fut not not not not not not not not not no	es per 100,000 ch 2001 4 23 432,49 Annu 2006	al Objective and Performance 2002 .2 4.2 .3 2.8 .10 13 .90 466,923	2003 3 4.3 21 483,936 Final Final 2008	2004 4. 2004 497,67
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 The rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	Please fill in only the not required for fut not not required for fut not not required for fut not not not not not not not not not no	es per 100,000 ch 2001 4 2 432,49 Annu 2006	al Objective and Performance 2002 .2	2003 3 4.3 21 483,936 Final Final 2008 2.2	2004 4. 22 497,67 Final

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 11					
Percentage of mothers who breastfeed their infants at hospital dischar	rge.				
			Objective and Perfor		
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective		57		59	6
Annual Indicator		53.0		61.2	64.
	7,004	16,588	19,146	20,564	22,59
Denominator	12,015	31,297	32,841	33,605	34,84
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Provisional
		Annual	Objective and Borfor		
	2005	2006	Objective and Perfor 2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective		67		69	7
Annual Indicator					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Numerator	Please fill in only th	ne Objectives for the	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
Denominator	not required for futu	ne Objectives for the ure year data.	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12	not required for futu	ure year data.	above years. Numera		Annual Indicators
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12	not required for futu	ure year data.			Annual Indicators 2004
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12	not required for futu- hospital discharge.	ure year data. Annual	Objective and Perfor 2002	mance Data	
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 Percentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before I	not required for futu- hospital discharge.	ure year data. Annual 2001 35	Objective and Perfor 2002	mance Data 2003	2004
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 Percentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before I	hospital discharge. 2000 25 27.2	ure year data. Annual 2001 35	Objective and Perfor 2002 80 90.8	mance Data 2003 85	2004
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 Percentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before I Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	hospital discharge. 2000 25 27.2	Annual 2001 35 34.5	Objective and Perfor 2002 80 90.8 29,180	mance Data 2003 85 94.3	2004 99.
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 Percentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before I Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	not required for future hospital discharge. 2000 25 27.2 8,200 30,130	Annual 2001 35 34.5	Objective and Perfor 2002 80 90.8 29,180	mance Data 2003 85 94.3 30,958	2004 92 31,81
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 Percentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before I Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	not required for future hospital discharge. 2000 25 27.2 8,200 30,130	Annual 2001 35 34.5 10,798 31,297	Objective and Perfor 2002 80 90.8 29,180 32,121	85 94.3 30,958 32,834 Provisional	2004 92 31,81 34,38
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 Percentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before I Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	hospital discharge. 2000 25 27.2 8,200 30,130	Annual 2001 35 34.5 10,798 31,297	Objective and Perfor 2002 80 90.8 29,180 32,121 Objective and Perfor	### Manager Data 2003 85 94.3 30,958 32,834 Provisional	2004 92. 31,81 34,38 Provisional
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 Percentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before I Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	not required for future hospital discharge. 2000 25 27.2 8,200 30,130	Annual 2001 35 34.5 10,798 31,297 Annual 2006	Objective and Perfor 2002 80 90.8 29,180 32,121 Objective and Perfor 2007	94.3 30,958 32,834 Provisional	2004 92 31,81 34,38
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 Percentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before I Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	not required for future hospital discharge. 2000 25 27.2 8,200 30,130 2005	Annual 2001 35 34.5 10,798 31,297 Annual 2006 96	Objective and Perfor 2002 80 90.8 29,180 32,121 Objective and Perfor 2007	mance Data 2003 85 94.3 30,958 32,834 Provisional mance Data 2008 97	2004 92 31,81 34,38 Provisional
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 Percentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before I Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	not required for future hospital discharge. 2000 25 27.2 8,200 30,130 2005	Annual 2001 35 34.5 10,798 31,297 Annual 2006 96	Objective and Perfor 2002 80 90.8 29,180 32,121 Objective and Perfor 2007	mance Data 2003 85 94.3 30,958 32,834 Provisional mance Data 2008 97	2004 92 31,8 34,33 Provisional

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 13					
Percent of children without health insurance.					
			Objective and Perfor		
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective		20	19	19	1
Annual Indicator	22.8	21.4	19.1	19.1	17.
Numerator	122,540	117,118	112,259	110,568	105,47
Denominator	536,407	546,068	587,695	578,890	595,89
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final
		<u>Annual</u>	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective	18	17	17	16	1
Numerator Denominator	not required for futi	ure year data.	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
REFORMANCE MEASURE # 14	not required for fut	ure year data.	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14	not required for fut	ure year data. Medicaid Program.	<u>, </u>		Annual Indicators
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 14	not required for fut	ure year data. Medicaid Program.	Objective and Perfor		Annual Indicators
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 14	not required for future for future for future paid by the N	ure year data. Medicaid Program. Annual 2001	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	2004
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 cent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a	not required for future for futur	ure year data. Medicaid Program. Annual 2001	Objective and Perfor 2002 95	mance Data 2003	2004
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 cent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a	not required for futures for f	Medicaid Program. Annual 2001 95	Objective and Perfor 2002 95 90	mance Data 2003 95	2004
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 recent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of the potential of the p	not required for futures and the service paid by the service paid	ve year data. Medicaid Program. Annual 2001 95 90	Objective and Perfor 2002 95 90	mance Data 2003 95	2004 97 95,00
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 recent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of the control of the contr	not required for futures paid by the N	Medicaid Program. Annual 2001 95	Objective and Perfor 2002 95 90	mance Data 2003 95	2004 97. 95,00
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 recent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of the second	not required for futures paid by the N	we year data. Medicaid Program. Annual 2001 95 90	Objective and Perfor 2002 95 90	mance Data 2003 95 90 Provisional	2004 97. 95,00 97,43
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 Treent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potential potent	not required for futures and the service paid by the service paid	ve year data. Medicaid Program. Annual 2001 95 90 Annual	Objective and Perfor 2002 95 90 Objective and Perfor	mance Data 2003 95 90 Provisional	2004 97 95,00 97,43 Provisional
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 recent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of the control of the control of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of the control of	not required for futures are required for futu	we year data. Medicaid Program. Annual 2001 95 90	Objective and Perfor 2002 95 90	mance Data 2003 95 90 Provisional	2004 97. 95,00 97,43
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 ercent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potential second of potent	not required for futures are required for futu	Medicaid Program. Annual 2001 95 90 Annual 2006 98	Objective and Perfor 2002 95 90 Objective and Perfor 2007 98	Provisional mance Data 95 90 Provisional mance Data 2008 98	2004 97, 95,00 97,43 Provisional
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 ercent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potential Performance Objective Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	not required for futures are required for futu	Medicaid Program. Annual 2001 95 90 Annual 2006 98	Objective and Perfor 2002 95 90 Objective and Perfor 2007	Provisional mance Data 95 90 Provisional mance Data 2008 98	2004 97 95,0 97,4 Provisional 2009

ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 15								
ne percent of very low birth weight infants among all live births.								
			Annual C	Objective and Perfo		ata		
	2000	2001		2002	2003		2004	
Annual Performance Objective			1	1		1		1.
Annual Indicator	1.3		1.0	1.3	-	1.3		1.
Numerator	380		328	411		433		44
Denominator	30,130		31,297	32,798		33,605		35,14
Is the Data Provisional or Final?					Final		Final	
			Annual C	Objective and Perfo	ormance D	ata		
	2005	2006		2007	2008		2009	
Annual Performance Objective	1.2		1	1		1		
Associate Protein								
Annual Indicator								
Annual Indicator	Diagon fill in anhut	he Objectiv	ves for the a	above years. Numer	ator, Deno	minator and	Annual Ir	ndicators
Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for fut	he Objectiv	ves for the a	above years. Numer	ator, Deno	minator and	Annual Ir	ndicators
Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fut	he Objectiv ture year d	ves for the a	above years. Numer	ator, Deno	minator and	Annual Ir	ndicators
Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 16	Please fill in only the not required for fut ghat 19.	ture year d	ata.	Objective and Perfo	ormance D			ndicators
Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 e rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through	Please fill in only the not required for fut gh 19.	he Objectiv ture year d	ata. Annual C	Objective and Performance 2002	ormance D 2003	ata .	Annual Ir	ndicators
Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 e rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 throu	Please fill in only the not required for fut gh 19. 2000	ture year d	Annual C	Objective and Perfo 2002 8	ormance D 2003	<u>ata</u> 7		
Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 e rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 throu Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	Please fill in only the not required for fut gh 19. 2000 9 11.0	ture year d	ata. Annual C	Objective and Performance 2002	ormance D 2003	7 13.2		11.
Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 e rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 throu Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for fut gh 19. 2000 9 11.0 14	2001	Annual C	Objective and Perfo 2002 8 6.6	ormance D 2003	<u>ata</u> 7		11.
Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 e rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 throu Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for fut gh 19. 2000 9 11.0 14 127,169	2001	Annual C 9 6.6	2002 8 6.6	ormance D 2003	7 13.2 21		11.
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 e rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the arrow and a suicide death among youths aged 15 through the arrow and a suicide death among youths aged 15 through the arrow and a suicide death among youths aged 15 through the arrow and a suicide death among youths aged 15 through the arrow and a suicide death among youths aged 15 through the arrow and a suicide death among youths aged 15 through the arrow and a suicide death among youths aged 15 through the arrow and a suicide death among youths aged 15 through the arrow and a suicide death among youths aged 15 through the arrow and a suicide death among youths aged 15 through the arrow and a suicide death among youths aged 15 through the arrow and a suicide death among youth aged 15 through the arrow and a suicide death among youth aged 15 through the arrow and a suicide death among youth aged 15 through the arrow and a suicide death among youth aged 15 through the arrow and a suicide death among youth aged 15 through the arrow and a suicide death among youth aged 15 through the arrow and a suicide death among youth aged 15 through the arrow are also a suicide death among youth aged 15 through the arrow and a suicide death among youth and a suicide death among y	Please fill in only the not required for fut gh 19. 2000 9 11.0 14 127,169	2001	Annual C 9 6.6	2002 8 6.6	ormance D 2003	7 13.2 21	2004	11.
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 e rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the arrow and a suicide death among youths aged 15 through the arrow and a suicide death among youths aged 15 through the arrow and a suicide death among youths aged 15 through the arrow and a suicide death among youths aged 15 through the arrow and a suicide death among youths aged 15 through the arrow and a suicide death among youths aged 15 through the arrow and a suicide death among youths aged 15 through the arrow and a suicide death among youths aged 15 through the arrow and a suicide death among youths aged 15 through the arrow and a suicide death among youths aged 15 through the arrow and a suicide death among youths aged 15 through the arrow and a suicide death among youth aged 15 through the arrow and a suicide death among youth aged 15 through the arrow and a suicide death among youth aged 15 through the arrow and a suicide death among youth aged 15 through the arrow and a suicide death among youth aged 15 through the arrow and a suicide death among youth aged 15 through the arrow and a suicide death among youth aged 15 through the arrow are also a suicide death among youth aged 15 through the arrow and a suicide death among youth and a suicide death among y	Please fill in only the not required for fut gh 19. 2000 9 11.0 14 127,169	2001	9 6.6 9 135,560	2002 8 6.6 10 150,965	prmance D 2003 Final	7 13.2 21 159,580	2004 Final	11.
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 e rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) and suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 th	Please fill in only the not required for fut some series of the not required for fut s	2001 2006	Annual C 9 6.6 9 135,560	2002 8 6.6 10 150,965 Objective and Perfo	Final	7 13.2 21 159,580	2004	11. 1 165,29
REFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 e rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 throu Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	Please fill in only the not required for fut not required for fut gh 19. 2000 9 11.0 14 127,169 2005	2001 2006	9 6.6 9 135,560	2002 8 6.6 10 150,965	Final	7 13.2 21 159,580	2004 Final	11.
Rumerator Denominator ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 The rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deat	Please fill in only the not required for fut not required for fut gh 19. 2000 9 11.0 14 127,169 2005 14	2001 2006	9 6.6 9 135,560 Annual C	2002 8 6.6 10 150,965 Objective and Perfo	Final 2008	7 13.2 21 159,580	2004 Final	11. 1 165,29
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 throu Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	Please fill in only the not required for fut not required for fut gh 19. 2000 9 11.0 14 127,169 2005 14 Please fill in only the not required for fut not not not not not not not not not no	2001 2006	9 6.6 9 135,560 Annual C	2002 8 6.6 10 150,965 Objective and Performance and Performa	Final 2008	7 13.2 21 159,580	2004 Final	165,24

ercent of very low birth weight infants delivered at facilities for high-r	isk deliveries and n	eonates.						
			Annual C	Objective and Perfo	rmance Da	<u>ta</u>		
	2000	2001		2002	2003		2004	
Annual Performance Objective	95		95	95		95		98
Annual Indicator	92.6		90.9	88.1		89.8		86.
Numerator	352		298	362		388		38
Denominator	380		328	411		432		44
Is the Data Provisional or Final?					Final		Final	
			Annual (Objective and Perfo	rmance Da	ta		
	2005	2006	Aimagi	2007	2008	<u>.a</u>	2009	
Annual Performance Objective	95		95	95		95		9
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fut	he Objectiv eure year d	ves for the a	above years. Numera	ator, Denom	inator and	Annual Inc	dicators
Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for fut	ure year d	ves for the a	above years. Numera	ator, Denom	inator and	Annual Inc	dicators
Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 18	Please fill in only the not required for fut ginning in the first to	rimester.	ata.	Objective and Perfo	rmance Da			dicators
Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 recent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be	Please fill in only the not required for fut ginning in the first to 2000	ure year d	ata. Annual C	Objective and Perfo		<u>ta</u>	Annual Inc	
Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 cent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be Annual Performance Objective	Please fill in only the not required for fut ginning in the first to 2000	rimester.	Annual C	Objective and Perfo	rmance Da	<u>ta</u> 76		7
Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 cent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	Please fill in only the not required for fut ginning in the first to 2000 79	rimester.	Annual C 80 75.6	Dbjective and Perfo 2002 75 74.6	rmance Da 2003	ta 76 75.5		74.
Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 recent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for fut required for fut ginning in the first to the first	rimester.	80 75.6 23,645	Dbjective and Perfo 2002 75 74.6 24,468	rmance Da 2003	76 75.5 25,362		7 74. 26,15
Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 cent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fut not required for fut ginning in the first to 2000 79 74.5 22,447 30,130	rimester.	Annual C 80 75.6 23,645	Dbjective and Perfo 2002 75 74.6	ermance Da 2003	ta 76 75.5	2004	7 74. 26,15
Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 cent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for fut not required for fut ginning in the first to 2000 79 74.5 22,447 30,130	rimester.	80 75.6 23,645	Dbjective and Perfo 2002 75 74.6 24,468	rmance Da 2003	76 75.5 25,362		7 74. 26,15
Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 cent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fut not required for fut ginning in the first to 2000 79 74.5 22,447 30,130	rimester.	80 75.6 23,645 31,297	Dbjective and Perfo 2002 75 74.6 24,468	rmance Da 2003 Final	76 75.5 25,362 33,605	2004	7 74. 26,15
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 recent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fut not required for fut ginning in the first to 2000 79 74.5 22,447 30,130	rimester.	80 75.6 23,645 31,297	75 74.6 24,468	Final 2008	76 75.5 25,362 33,605	2004	7 74. 26,15 35,14

		<u>Annual (</u>	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective		22	15	18	1
Annual Indicato	NaN	7.9	8.1	7.4	7.
Numerato	0	34,727	38,003	35,814	38,22
Denominato	0	442,030	468,635	484,433	497,95
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Provisional
		<u>Annual (</u>	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
	2003				
Denominato	Please fill in only the	10	15 above years. Numera	15 tor, Denominator and	
Annual Indicato Numerato	Please fill in only the not required for fut	ne Objectives for the sure year data.	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12	Please fill in only the not required for future.	ne Objectives for the sure year data.	above years. Numera n, youth and women o	tor, Denominator and of childbearing age .	Annual Indicators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 ess to preventive oral services and dental care, regardless of abil	Please fill in only the not required for future ty to pay, should be	ne Objectives for the sure year data.	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator THE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12	Please fill in only the not required for future ty to pay, should be	ne Objectives for the sure year data. increased for childre Annual (above years. Numera n, youth and women of Objective and Perfor 2002	of childbearing age .	Annual Indicators 2004
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 Personal services and dental care, regardless of ability Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	Please fill in only the not required for future ty to pay, should be	ne Objectives for the aure year data. increased for childre Annual of the control of the contr	n, youth and women of the company of	of childbearing age . mance Data 2003	Annual Indicators 2004
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 Personal services and dental care, regardless of ability Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	Please fill in only the not required for future ty to pay, should be	ne Objectives for the aure year data. increased for childre Annual of the control of the contr	n, youth and women of the control of	of childbearing age . mance Data 2003 1.6 1.2	2004 1.
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator TE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 ess to preventive oral services and dental care, regardless of abil Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for future ty to pay, should be	increased for childre Annual 6 2001 1.2 0.9	n, youth and women of 2002 1.4 1.2 1,186	of childbearing age . mance Data 2003 1.6 1.2 1,290	2004 1 1,36
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 ess to preventive oral services and dental care, regardless of abil Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for future ty to pay, should be	ne Objectives for the sure year data. increased for childre Annual (2001 1.2 0.9 899 986,312	n, youth and women of 2002 1.4 1.2 1,186 1,013,750	of childbearing age . mance Data 2003 1.6 1.2 1,290 1,047,193 Final	2004 1. 1,366 1,160,93
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 ess to preventive oral services and dental care, regardless of abil Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for future ty to pay, should be	ne Objectives for the sure year data. increased for childre Annual (2001 1.2 0.9 899 986,312	n, youth and women of 2002 1.4 1.2 1,186	of childbearing age . mance Data 2003 1.6 1.2 1,290 1,047,193 Final	2004 1. 1,36 1,160,93

rate of child abuse and neglect should be reduced.					
		<u>Annual</u>	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective		95	4.4	4.2	4.
Annual Indicato		4.4	4.7	5.0	3.
Numerato		2,662	2,875	3,200	2,46
Denominato		599,079	617,887	643,516	662,97
Is the Data Provisional or Final?	,			Final	Provisional
		Annual	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective	3.7	3.7	3.5	3.5	3.
Denominato	Г	ure year data.			
ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 gnancy among female adolescents ages 15-19 should be reduced					
ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16			Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16	d. 2000	<u>Annual</u> 2001	2002	2003	2004
ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16	d. 2000	Annual 2001 6.0	2002 6.0		2004
ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 gnancy among female adolescents ages 15-19 should be reduced	d. 2000	Annual 2001 6.0	2002 6.0	2003	
ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 gnancy among female adolescents ages 15-19 should be reduced Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	d. 2000	Annual 2001 6.0 5.6	2002 6.0 5.0	2003 5.8	4
ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 gnancy among female adolescents ages 15-19 should be reduced Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	2000 2000	Annual 2001 6.0 5.6 3,663	6.0 5.0 3,638	5.8 4.8	2004 4 3,78 79,60
ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 gnancy among female adolescents ages 15-19 should be reduced Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	2000 2000	Annual 2001 6.0 5.6 3,663	6.0 5.0 3,638	5.8 4.8 3,720	3,78
ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 gnancy among female adolescents ages 15-19 should be reduced Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 2000	Annual 2001 6.0 5.6 3,663 65,353	6.0 5.0 3,638	5.8 4.8 3,720 76,820 Final	4 3,78 79,60
ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 gnancy among female adolescents ages 15-19 should be reduced Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 2000	Annual 2001 6.0 5.6 3,663 65,353	6.0 5.0 3,638 72,773	5.8 4.8 3,720 76,820 Final	4 3,78 79,60

STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 17							
Access to specialty and subspecialty services available to CSHCN sh	ould be increased.						
	2000	2001	Annual C	Objective and Perfor 2002	mance Data 2003	2004	
Annual Performance Objective		2001	155	2002 75	2003		8
			65.4	82.0	68.0		62.
Annual Indicator							
			508	573	579		42
Denominator			7,769	6,989	8,519	-	6,72
Is the Data Provisional or Final?					Provisional	Provision	onal
			Annual C	Objective and Perfor	mance Data		
	2005	2006	Amiliaar	2007	2008	2009	
Annual Performance Objective	65		70	75	75	5	-
Annual Indicator							
		01 1 11					
No. 10 and 10 an	riease IIII III Olliy III	ie Objective	es for the a	bove years. Numerat	tor, Denominator a	nd Annual In	ndicators
Numerator	not required for futu			above years. Numera	tor, Denominator a	nd Annual In	ndicators
Numerator Denominator	not required for futu			above years. Numera	tor, Denominator a	nd Annual In	ndicators
Denominator	not required for futu			bove years. Numera	tor, Denominator a	nd Annual In	ndicators
Denominator	not required for futu			bove years. Numera	tor, Denominator a	nd Annual In	ndicators
Denominator STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 Increase access to enabling services that assist CSHCN in care coord	not required for futu	ıre year dat	ta.	,			
Denominator STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 ncrease access to enabling services that assist CSHCN in care coord	not required for futu	ıre year dat	ta.	,			
Denominator STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 Increase access to enabling services that assist CSHCN in care coord	not required for futu	ıre year dat	transporta	,	ent, and coordinati		
Denominator STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 Increase access to enabling services that assist CSHCN in care coord	not required for futu	ıre year dat	transporta	ation, case managem	ent, and coordinati		
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 crease access to enabling services that assist CSHCN in care coord	not required for futured for f	ure year dat	transporta	ation, case managem	ent, and coordinati	on with Med	icaid, Ne
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 Increase access to enabling services that assist CSHCN in care coordinated Up, and/or purchase of health insurance. Annual Performance Objective	not required for futured for f	ure year dat	transporta	ation, case managem Objective and Perfor 2002	ent, and coordinations of the mance Data 2003	2004	icaid, Ne
STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 Increase access to enabling services that assist CSHCN in care coordinated Up, and/or purchase of health insurance. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	not required for future dination, respite care	e, outreach,	transporta Annual C	Dijective and Perfor 2002	ent, and coordination mance Data 2003	2004	icaid, Ne 57 187
STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 Increase access to enabling services that assist CSHCN in care coordinated to the coordinate of the coordin	dination, respite care	e, outreach,	transporta Annual C 590 583.9	Dbjective and Perfor 2002 600 501.2	ent, and coordination mance Data 2003 550 542.9	2004	57 187 2,22
STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 Increase access to enabling services that assist CSHCN in care coordinated to the coordinate of the coordin	not required for future dination, respite care	e, outreach,	transporta Annual C 590 583.9 4,536	Dijective and Perfor 2002 600 501.2 3,503	ent, and coordination mance Data 2003 550 542.9	2004	57 187 2,22 11,86
Denominator STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 Increase access to enabling services that assist CSHCN in care coord Check Up, and/or purchase of health insurance. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	not required for future dination, respite care	e, outreach,	transporta Annual C 590 583.9 4,536	Dijective and Perfor 2002 600 501.2 3,503	ent, and coordination mance Data 2003 550 542.5 4,625 8,515	2004	57 187 2,22 11,86
Denominator STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 Increase access to enabling services that assist CSHCN in care coordinated the coordinated the coordinate of the	not required for future dination, respite care	e, outreach,	transporta Annual C 590 583.9 4,536 7,769	2002 600 501.2 3,503 6,989	ent, and coordination mance Data 2003 550 542.9 4,623 8,519 Provisional	2004	57 187 2,22 11,86
Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator State Performance Measure # 18 Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	not required for future dination, respite care	e, outreach,	transporta Annual C 590 583.9 4,536 7,769	2002 600 501.2 3,503 6,989 Objective and Perfor	ent, and coordination mance Data 2003 550 542.3 4,628 8,519 Provisional mance Data 2008	2004 0 0 Provisio	57 187 2,22 11,86
Annual Performance Objective Annual Performance Objective Annual Provisional or Final?	anot required for future dination, respite care	e, outreach,	transporta Annual C 590 583.9 4,536 7,769	2002 600 501.2 3,503 6,989	ent, and coordination mance Data 2003 550 542.9 4,629 8,519 Provisional	2004 0 0 Provisio	57 187 2,22 11,86
STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 Increase access to enabling services that assist CSHCN in care coordinated Up, and/or purchase of health insurance. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	2000 2005 Please fill in only the	2001	transporta Annual C 590 583.9 4,536 7,769 Annual C	2002 600 501.2 3,503 6,989 Objective and Perfor	ent, and coordination mance Data 2003 550 542.9 4,629 8,519 Provisional mance Data 2008	2004) Provisio	5 187 2,2 11,80 onal
STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 ncrease access to enabling services that assist CSHCN in care coord check Up, and/or purchase of health insurance. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective	2000 2005 Please fill in only th not required for future.	2001 2006 e Objective	transporta Annual C 590 583.9 4,536 7,769 Annual C 195	2002 600 501.2 3,503 6,989 Objective and Perfor	ent, and coordination mance Data 2003 550 542.9 4,629 8,519 Provisional mance Data 2008	2004) Provisio	5 187 2,2 11,80 onal

TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 19									
omes for primary medical care, regardless of ability to pay, should b	e increased for child	Iren, youth	, women o	f child beari	ng age ar	nd CSHCN	ages 0-19	-	
			Annual C	Objective a	nd Perfor		<u>ıta</u>		
	2000	2001	_	2002		2003		2004	_
Annual Performance Objective			2		4		4		2
Annual Indicator					2.2		2.1		2
Numerator			1,559		1,768		1,768		1,97
Denominator			828,940		820,710		854,021		851,97
Is the Data Provisional or Final?						Final		Final	
			Annual C	Objective a	nd Perfor	mance Da	ıta		
	2005	2006		2007		2008		2009	
Annual Performance Objective	2.5		2.7		3		3		3
Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fution	ne Objectiv ure year da	ves for the a	above years	. Numerat	tor, Denom	ninator and	Annual I	ndicators
Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for future.	ure year da	ata.					Annual I	ndicators
Numerator Denominator ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 20	Please fill in only the not required for future.	ure year da	ata. ring age, an		should be	increased	ı.	Annual I	ndicators
Numerator Denominator ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 20	Please fill in only the not required for future.	ure year da	ata. ring age, an	nd CSHCN,	should be	increased	ı.	Annual I	ndicators
Numerator Denominator ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 20	Please fill in only the not required for future. en, youth, women of 2000	child bear	ring age, an	nd CSHCN,	should be	increased	ı.		ndicators
Numerator Denominator ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 20 cess to mental health services regardless of ability to pay for children	Please fill in only the not required for futured for futured for futuren, youth, women of 2000	child bear	ring age, an	nd CSHCN,	should be	increased	ı.		
Numerator Denominator ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 20 cess to mental health services regardless of ability to pay for childr Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	Please fill in only the not required for futured for futured for futuren, youth, women of 2000	child bear	ata. ring age, an Annual C 3 1.9	nd CSHCN,	should be nd Perfor 3.5	increased	l. uta 4		1
Numerator Denominator ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 20 cess to mental health services regardless of ability to pay for childr Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	Please fill in only the not required for future for fut	child bear	ata. ring age, an Annual C 3 1.9	od CSHCN, Dijective al 2002	should be ad Perfor 3.5 2.0	increased	l. Ita 4 1.9	2004	1 1,70
Numerator Denominator ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 20 cess to mental health services regardless of ability to pay for childr Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for future. en, youth, women of 2000	child bear	ata. ring age, an Annual C 3 1.9 1,559	od CSHCN, Dijective al 2002	should be nd Perfor 3.5 2.0 1,653	increased	1. 14a 4 1.9 1,556	2004	1 1,70 1,160,93
Numerator Denominator TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 20 cess to mental health services regardless of ability to pay for childr Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for future. en, youth, women of 2000	child bear	ata. ring age, an Annual C 3 1.9 1,559 828,940	od CSHCN, Dijective al 2002	3.5 2.0 1,653 320,710	mance Da 2003 Final	1. 4 1.9 1,556 827,289	2004	1 1,70
Numerator Denominator ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 20 cess to mental health services regardless of ability to pay for childr Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for future. en, youth, women of 2000	child bear	ata. ring age, an Annual C 3 1.9 1,559 828,940	od CSHCN, Dijective at 2002	3.5 2.0 1,653 320,710	mance Da 2003 Final	1. 4 1.9 1,556 827,289	2004	1 1,70
Numerator Denominator TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 20 cess to mental health services regardless of ability to pay for childr Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for future. en, youth, women of 2000	child bear	ata. ring age, an Annual C 3 1.9 1,559 828,940	od CSHCN, Dijective at 2002	3.5 2.0 1,653 320,710	increased mance Da 2003 Final	1. 4 1.9 1,556 827,289	2004 Final	1 1,70

The CSHCN program has been successful in assisting families to become eligible for Medicaid and Nevada Check Up (Title XXI), thus the CSHCN active caseload has decreased. In Nevada, the active CSHCN case becomes "closed" when the child becomes eligible for the Medicaid or Nevada Check Up programs - thus there is no way of determining exactly how many CSHCN are receiving services, as those programs are unable to provide data specific to CSHCN. In addition, staff is working with the Real Choice Systems Change grant and Family Ties/Voices staff to develop increased community support services, local access to information and referral, web-site access, and provides advocacy services to enhance the availability of respite, transportation and mental health services.

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

1. Section Number: Performance Measure #1

Field Name: PM01 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Information is based on data from the Nevada Newborn Screening program contract laboratory - the Oregon Public Health Laboratory, which maintains a computer database of all screening tests submitted and the results. Nevada has always had an excellent participation rate of newborns receiving at least one initial screening prior to discharge. Despite the rapid population growth in the state - hospitals and thier staff remain committed to continuing to assure all infants have a specimen taken and tested. Thus, it is anticipated that the percent of newborns receiving an initial screen will remain the same.

2. Section Number: Performance Measure #2

Field Name: PM02 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

The 2002 indicator is based on the State estimates from SLAITS.

3. Section Number: Performance Measure #2

Field Name: PM02 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

The data reported in 2002 have pre-populated the data for 2003 for this performance measure.

Section Number: Performance Measure #2

Field Name: PM02 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The data reported in 2004 are pre-populated with the data from 2003 for this performance measure.

5. Section Number: Performance Measure #3

Field Name: PM03 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

The 2002 indicator is based on the State estimates from SLAITS.

6. Section Number: Performance Measure #3

Field Name: PM03 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

The data reported in 2002 have pre-populated the data for 2003 for this performance measure.

7. Section Number: Performance Measure #3

Field Name: PM03 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The data reported in 2004 are pre-populated with the data from 2003 for this performance measure.

The Real Choice Systems Change grant is being actively implemented. Linkages of CSHCN with physicians, and community programs are being developed to improve access to a medical home and coordination of services. Thus, it is anticipated that this percentage will slowly grow.

8. Section Number: Performance Measure #4

Field Name: PM04 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

The 2002 indicator is based on the State estimates from SLAITS.

9. Section Number: Performance Measure #4

Field Name: PM04 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

The data reported in 2002 have pre-populated the data for 2003 for this performance measure.

Section Number: Performance Measure #4

Field Name: PM04 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The data reported in 2004 are pre-populated with the data from 2003 for this performance measure.

11. Section Number: Performance Measure #5

Field Name: PM05 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

The 2002 indicator is based on the State estimates from SLAITS.

12. Section Number: Performance Measure #5

Field Name: PM05 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

The data reported in 2002 have pre-populated the data for 2003 for this performance measure.

13. Section Number: Performance Measure #5

Field Name: PM05 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The data reported in 2004 are pre-populated with the data from 2003 for this performance measure.

14. Section Number: Performance Measure #6

Field Name: PM06 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Because only one of the States (Maine) met the NCHS standards for reliability for PM 6, the 2002 indicator is the national average except for Maine which has its State

value noted.

15. Section Number: Performance Measure #6

Field Name: PM06 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

The data reported in 2002 have pre-populated the data for 2003 for this performance measure.

16. Section Number: Performance Measure #6

Field Name: PM06 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The data reported in 2004 are pre-populated with the data from 2003 for this performance measure.

The Real Choice Systems Chang grant is being implemented and various parent groups and community agencies are working together to develop and improve transition services statewide. Staff is working with the Department of Education and parents to provide technical assistance. In addition, staff has developed a web-site and participates in local publications to educate the public about available services. Thus, we anticipate gradual improvement in this area.

17. Section Number: Performance Measure #7

Field Name: PM07 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

This data is from the state by state report: US, National Immunization Survey, Q3/2001-Q2/2002, produced by CDC.

18. Section Number: Performance Measure #7

Field Name: PM07 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

This data comes from the CDC produced National Immunization Survey for Nevada for 7/2/2002 - 6/30/03. The numerator and denominator were not given. This data was given to the Bureau by the State's Immunization Program.

19. Section Number: Performance Measure #9

Field Name: PM09 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

This measurement is take from a statewide dental screening of third-graders conducted in 2003. The "Miles for Smiles" mobile dental bus and Saint Marys's "Take Care A Van" traveled to selected schools throughout the state to estimate sealant prevalence. A convenience sample was selected utilizing geographic diversity and socioeconomic status.

20. Section Number: Performance Measure #9

Field Name: PM09 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

This survey was not updated in 2004. The next screening is scheduled for FY 06.

21. Section Number: Performance Measure #11

Field Name: PM11 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

As in years past this data represents the percent of WIC mothers breastfeeding at birth applied to the total number of births. It is hoped that data for all births will be

collected on the newborn screening lab slips in FY 04.

22. Section Number: Performance Measure #11

Field Name: PM11 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

This data is based on Newborn Screening testing data. Nevada mandates that all infants receive a newborn screening - one prior to hospital discharge and another a few weeks after discharge. Staff have been working with birthing hospitals to improve data collection on babies and on breastfeeding. Hospital staff report that this information is helpful to them in enabling them to improve their quality assurance programs in the maternal and child health area for the JCAHO survey of facilities. Nevda issued a first report to the birthing hospitals in the summer of 2005 that enables facilities to guage how well they are doing.

23. Section Number: Performance Measure #12

Field Name: PM12 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The Nevada Newborn Hearing Screening program has been very successful in getting newborn infants screened for hearing deficits. Problems have been encountered in assuring the follow up component. Families who have private insurance (or do not need financial assistance) rarely respond to letters sent offering CSHCN assistance. Attempts to follow up with physicians have been unsuccessful, with HIPAA being sited as the primary reason - along with the lack of time and/or funding to cover the time needed for follow up activities.

24. Section Number: Performance Measure #13

Field Name: PM13 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

See explanation in NPM 13.a.

25. Section Number: Performance Measure #14

Field Name: PM14 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Medicaid is unable to estimate total eligible population in the State, 75,396 children 0 through 19 are enrolled in Medicaid.

26. Section Number: Performance Measure #14

Field Name: PM14 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Medicaid was unable to provide data regarding the number of potentially eligible who received a service paid by Medicaid.

They were able to indicate that 146,198 individuals under 20 years were eligible for an EPSDT exam, and that there were 109, 679 EPSDT sctreens completed. (There may be some duplication in this number due to the periodicity schedule).

27. Section Number: State Performance Measure #17

Field Name: SM17 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The CSHCN program funds specialty clinics for cranio-facial abnormalities, genetics, and for metabolic disorders - thus assuring the availability of these services for CSHCN. These clinics are held at the Early Intervention clinic sites and are the "countable number".

Due to the lower CSHCN caseload (attributed to the success of getting families into the Medicaid and SCHIP programs) the "countable number" is lower. Currently, there is no way to track any additional speciality services through the Medicaid and SCHIP programs.

28. Section Number: State Performance Measure #18

Field Name: SM18 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The CSHCN program has been successful in assisting families to become eligible for Medicaid and Nevada Check Up (Title XXI), thus the CSHCN active caseload has decreased. In Nevada, the active CSHCN case becomes "closed" when the child becomes eligible for the Medicaid or Check Up programs. There is no way of determining exactly how many CSHCN are receiving services under Medicaid or Nevada Check Up, as those programs are unable to provide data specific to CSHCN. In addition, staff is working with Real Choice Systems Change grant and Family Ties/Voices staff to develop increased community support services, local access to information and referral, web-site access, and provide advocacy services to enhance the availability of respite, transportaion and mental health services.

29. Section Number: State Performance Measure #19

Field Name: SM19 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The Annual Performance Objective for this NPM # 19 2004 should be 2.5, not 25. The system is not letting this correction be made.

30. Section Number: State Performance Measure #20

Field Name: SM20 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Estimate as data is not available for this year but no change from 2003 is expected as no additional resources were made available.

FORM 12 TRACKING HEALTH OUTCOME MEASURES [SECS 505 (A)(2)(B)(III) AND 506 (A)(2)(A)(III)] STATE: NV

,		Δn	nual Objectiv	e and Perfor	mance Da	ta		
	2000	2001	2002	c ana i ciioi	2003	<u>tu</u>	2004	
Annual Performance Objective	6		6	6		6		
	6.5		5.3	6.0		5.6		6
	195		167	196		189		2
	30,130		,297	32,841		33,605		35,14
Is the Data Provisional or Final?					Final		Final	
		<u>An</u>	nual Objectiv	e and Perfor	mance Da	<u>ta</u>		
	2005	2006	2007		2008		2009	
Annual Performance Objective	. 6		6	6		6		
Denominator	not required for fut	ne Objectives fo ure year data.	or the above ye	ears. Numera	tor, Denom	inator and	Annual Ind	dicator
TCOME MEASURE # 02	not required for fut	ne Objectives fo ure year data.	or the above ye	ears. Numera	tor, Denom	inator and	Annual Ind	dicators
COME MEASURE # 02	not required for fut	ure year data.	nual Objectiv				Annual Ind	dicators
ratio of the black infant mortality rate to the white infant mortality	not required for fut rate.	ure year data.	nual Objectiv 2002	e and Perfor			Annual Ind	
ratio of the black infant mortality rate to the white infant mortality Annual Performance Objective	rate.	ure year data. An 2001	nual Objectiv		mance Da			
ratio of the black infant mortality rate to the white infant mortality Annual Performance Objective	rate. 2000 1.4 2.6	An 2001	nual Objectiv 2002	e and Perfor	mance Da	<u>ta</u>		2
ratio of the black infant mortality rate to the white infant mortality Annual Performance Objective	rate.	An 2001	nual Objectiv 2002 1.3	e and Perfor	mance Da	<u>ta</u> 2.5		2
ratio of the black infant mortality rate to the white infant mortality Annual Performance Objective	rate. 2000 1.4 2.6 14.22	An 2001	nual Objectiv 2002 1.3 3.7	e and Perfor 1.3 3.3	mance Da	2.5 2.1		2
ratio of the black infant mortality rate to the white infant mortality Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	rate. 2000 1.4 2.6 14.22	An 2001	nual Objectiv 2002 1.3 3.7	e and Perfor 1.3 3.3 13.1	mance Da	2.5 2.1 12.1		2
ratio of the black infant mortality rate to the white infant mortality Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	rate. 2000 1.4 2.6 14.22	An 2001	1.3 3.7 16.5 4.5	e and Perfor 1.3 3.3 13.1 4	rmance Da 2003 Final	2.5 2.1 12.1 5.9	2004	2
ratio of the black infant mortality rate to the white infant mortality Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	rate. 2000 1.4 2.6 14.22	An 2001	nual Objectiv 2002 1.3 3.7	e and Perfor 1.3 3.3 13.1 4	rmance Da 2003 Final	2.5 2.1 12.1 5.9	2004	2
TCOME MEASURE # 02 ratio of the black infant mortality rate to the white infant mortality Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	not required for fut rate. 2000 1.4 2.6 14.22 5.4	An 2006	nual Objectiv 2002 1.3 3.7 16.5 4.5	e and Perfor 1.3 3.3 13.1 4	Final	2.5 2.1 12.1 5.9	2004 Final	2 3
UTCOME MEASURE # 02 The ratio of the black infant mortality rate to the white infant mortality Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	rate. 2000 1.4 2.6 14.22 5.4 2005	An 2006	nual Objectiv 2002 1.3 3.7 16.5 4.5	e and Perfor 1.3 3.3 13.1 4	Final	2.5 2.1 12.1 5.9	2004 Final	
PTCOME MEASURE # 02 e ratio of the black infant mortality Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	rate. 2000 1.4 2.6 14.22 5.4 2005	An 2001 An 2006	nual Objectiv 2002 1.3 3.7 16.5 4.5 nual Objectiv 2007	e and Perfor 1.3 3.3 13.1 4 e and Perfor 1.5	Final 2008	2.5 2.1 12.1 5.9	2004 Final	;

OUTCOME MEASURE # 03								
he neonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births.								
				tive and Perfor		ata .		
	2000	2001	200	-	2003		2004	
Annual Performance Objective	3.8		3.8	3		3.4		3.
Annual Indicator	4.0		2.9	3.9		3.4		4.
Numerator	121		91	127		115		14
Denominator	30,130	31	,297	32,841		33,605		35,14
Is the Data Provisional or Final?					Final		Final	
					_			
	2005	An 2006	<u>nual Objec</u> 200	tive and Perfor	mance Da 2008	ata	2009	
Annual Parformance Objective		2000	3.1	3	2000	3	2009	
Annual Performance Objective			0.1					
Annual Indicator	Diamentill in automate	na Ohiactivas fo	r the ahove	veare Numera	tor Denon	ninator and	Annual In	dicatore
	Diamentill in automate	ne Objectives fo ure year data.	r the above	years. Numera	tor, Denon	ninator and	Annual In	dicators
Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for futi	ne Objectives fo ure year data.	or the above	years. Numera	tor, Denon	ninator and	Annual In	dicators
Numerator Denominator OUTCOME MEASURE # 04	Please fill in only the not required for futured for futured for future for f	ure year data. An	nual Objec	tive and Perfor	mance Da			dicators
Numerator Denominator PUTCOME MEASURE # 04 he postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births.	Please fill in only the not required for future for future.	ure year data. An	nual Objec 200	tive and Perfor		ata	Annual In	
Numerator Denominator PUTCOME MEASURE # 04 the postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births. Annual Performance Objective	Please fill in only the not required for future for fut	ure year data. An 2001	nual Objec 200 2.3	tive and Perfor 02 2.3	mance Da	ata 2.3		2.
Numerator Denominator PUTCOME MEASURE # 04 he postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births.	Please fill in only the not required for future for fut	ure year data. An 2001	nual Objec 200 2.3 2.4	tive and Perfor 12 2.3 2.1	mance Da	2.3 2.2		2.
Numerator Denominator PUTCOME MEASURE # 04 The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	Please fill in only the not required for future for fut	ure year data. An 2001	nual Objec 200 2.3	tive and Perfor 02 2.3	mance Da	ata 2.3		2.
Numerator Denominator PUTCOME MEASURE # 04 the postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	2000 2.4 2.5	An 2001	nual Objec 200 2.3 2.4 76	tive and Perfor 12 2.3 2.1	mance Da	2.3 2.2		2.
Numerator Denominator DUTCOME MEASURE # 04 he postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	2000 2.4 2.5 74 30,130	An 2001	nual Objec 200 2.3 2.4 76	tive and Perfor 02 2.3 2.1 68	mance Da	2.3 2.2 74		2. 2. 7. 35,14
Numerator Denominator DUTCOME MEASURE # 04 The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 2.4 2.5 74 30,130	An 2001	nual Objec 200 2.3 2.4 76 ,297	2.3 2.1 68 32,841	2003 Final	2.3 2.2 74 33,605	2004	2. 2. 7
Numerator Denominator DUTCOME MEASURE # 04 he postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 2.4 2.5 74 30,130	An 2001	nual Objec 200 2.3 2.4 76 ,297	2.3 2.1 68 32,841	2003 Final	2.3 2.2 74 33,605	2004	2.
Numerator DUTCOME MEASURE # 04 The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 2.4 2.5 74 30,130	An 2001	nual Objec 200 2.3 2.4 76 ,297	2.3 2.1 68 32,841	Final	2.3 2.2 74 33,605	2004 Final	2.
Numerator Denominator DUTCOME MEASURE # 04 The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	2000 2.4 2.5 74 30,130 2005	2001 An 2006	nual Objec 2.3 2.4 76 ,297 nual Objec 200	2.3 2.1 68 32,841 tive and Perfor	Final 2008	2.3 2.2 74 33,605	2004 Final	2 2 7 35,14
Numerator Denominator DUTCOME MEASURE # 04 The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	2000 2.4 2.5 74 30,130 2005	2001 An 2006	nual Objec 2.3 2.4 76 ,297 nual Objec 200	2.3 2.1 68 32,841 tive and Perfor	Final 2008	2.3 2.2 74 33,605	2004 Final	2 2 7 35,14

OUTCOME MEASURE # 05					
The perinatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births plus fetal deaths.					
			Objective and Perfor		
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective		8.5	8.5	8.5	
Annual Indicator	9.9	8.8	11.1	11.2	5.
Numerator	302	276	366	370	21
Denominator	30,358	31,509	33,088	33,168	35,35
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Provisional	Final
		Ammund	Objective and Perfor	rmanas Data	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective	9	8	8	8	
Annual Indicator					
	Diamentillin and other	ne Objectives for the	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for fution	ne Objectives for the ure year data.	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fution	ne Objectives for the ure year data.	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
Numerator Denominator OUTCOME MEASURE # 06	Please fill in only the not required for futured for futured for future for f	ure year data. Annual	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
Numerator Denominator PUTCOME MEASURE # 06 he child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14.	Please fill in only the not required for future for future.	ure year data. Annual 2001	Objective and Perfor 2002	rmance Data 2003	2004
Numerator Denominator DUTCOME MEASURE # 06 the child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14. Annual Performance Objective	Please fill in only the not required for future for fut	Annual 2001	Objective and Perfor 2002	mance Data 2003	2004 1
Numerator Denominator PUTCOME MEASURE # 06 he child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	Please fill in only the not required for future for fut	Annual 2001 23	Objective and Perfor 2002 23 19.1	rmance Data 2003	2004 1 18.
Numerator Denominator DUTCOME MEASURE # 06 he child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for future for fut	Annual 2001 23 19.7 85	Objective and Perfor 2002 23 19.1 83	mance Data 2003 19	
Numerator Denominator DUTCOME MEASURE # 06 The child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	2000 25 21.3 86 404,202	Annual 2001 23 19.7 85	Objective and Perfor 2002 23 19.1 83	2003 19 19.9	2004 1 18.
Numerator Denominator DUTCOME MEASURE # 06 The child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 25 21.3 86 404,202	Annual 2001 23 19.7 85	Objective and Perfor 2002 23 19.1 83	19 19.9 90 451,503	2004 1 18. 9 497,67
Numerator Denominator DUTCOME MEASURE # 06 The child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 25 21.3 86 404,202	Annual 2001 23 19.7 85 432,490	Objective and Perfor 2002 23 19.1 83	19 19.9 90 451,503	2004 1 18. 9 497,67
Numerator Denominator DUTCOME MEASURE # 06 The child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 25 21.3 86 404,202	Annual 2001 23 19.7 85 432,490 Annual 2006	Objective and Perfor 2002 23 19.1 83 433,834 Objective and Perfor 2007	19 19.9 90 451,503 Final	2004 1 18. 9 497,67 Final
Numerator Denominator DUTCOME MEASURE # 06 The child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000 25 21.3 86 404,202 2005	Annual 2001 23 19.7 85 432,490	Objective and Perfor 2002 23 19.1 83 433,834 Objective and Perfor 2007	19 19.9 90 451,503 Final	2004 1 18. 9 497,67 Final
Numerator Denominator DUTCOME MEASURE # 06 The child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	2000 25 21.3 86 404,202	Annual 2001 23 19.7 85 432,490 Annual 2006 17	Objective and Perfor 2002 23 19.1 83 433,834 Objective and Perfor 2007	19 19.9 90 451,503 Final Tmance Data 2008 16	2004 1 18. 9 497,67 Final
Numerator Denominator DUTCOME MEASURE # 06 The child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	2000 25 21.3 86 404,202 2005 Please fill in only the not required for futton the not required for futton the not required for futton to require futton futton to require futton fu	Annual 2001 23 19.7 85 432,490 Annual 2006 17	Objective and Perfor 2002 23 19.1 83 433,834 Objective and Perfor 2007	19 19.9 90 451,503 Final Tmance Data 2008 16	2004 18 497,6 Final

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

CHARACTERISTICS DOCUMENTING FAMILY PARTICIPATION IN CSHCN PROGRAMS STATE: NV 1. Family members participate on advisory committee or task forces and are offering training, mentoring, and reimbursement, when appropriate. 3 2. Financial support (financial grants, technical assistance, travel, and child care) is offered for parent activities or parent groups. 2 3. Family members are involved in the Children with Special Health Care Needs elements of the MCH Block Grant Application process. 3 4. Family members are involved in service training of CSHCN staff and providers. 2 5. Family members hired as paid staff or consultants to the State CSHCN program (a family member is hired for his or her expertise as a family member). 3 6. Family members of diverse cultures are involved in all of the above activities. 2 Total Score: 15 Rating Key 0 = Not Met 1 = Partially Met 1 = Partially Met 1 = Partially Met

3 = Completely Met

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

FORM 14 LIST OF MCH PRIORITY NEEDS

[Sec. 505(a)(5)]

STATE: NV FY: 2006

Your State's 5-year Needs Assessment should identify the need for preventive and primary care services for pregnant women, mothers, and infants; preventive and primary care services for children and services for Children with Special Health Care Needs. With each year's Block Grant application, provide a list (whether or not the priority needs change) of the top maternal and child health needs in your state. Using simple sentence or phrase ,list below your State's needs. Examples of such statements are: "To reduce the barriers to the delivery of care for pregnant women, " and "The infant mortality rate for minorities should be reduced."

MCHB will capture annually every State's top 7 to 10 priority needs in an information system for comparison, tracking, and reporting purposes; you must list at least 7 and no more than 10. Note that the numbers listed below are for computer tracking only and are not meant to indicate priority order. If your State wishes to report more than 10 priority needs, list additional priority needs in a note at the form level.

- 1. Increase access to primary care services, providers, facilities, resources, and payor sources among the MCH populations.
- 2. Increase access to oral health services, providers, facilities, resources, and payor sources among the MCH populations.
- 3. Increase access to mental health services, providers, facilities, resources, and payor sources among the MCH populations.
- 4. Create a unified data system and surveillance system to monitor services delivered to the MCH populations.
- 5. Create "braided" services for CSHCN resources in Nevada, incluiding "one-stop-shopping" and "no wrong door".
- 6. Increase financial coverage and decrease financial gaps for health care including dental and mental health care among the MCH populations.
- 7. Decrease the incidence of domestic violence among women of childbearing age.
- 8. Decrease the risk factors associated with obesity for children and women.
- 9. Decrease unintentional injuries among the MCH populations.
- 10.

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

None

FORM 15 TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE(TA) REQUEST

STATE: NV APPLICATION YEAR: 2006

No.	Category of Technical Assistance Requested	Description of Technical Assistance Requested (max 250 characters)	Reason(s) Why Assistance Is Needed (max 250 characters)	What State, Organization or Individual Would You suggest Provide the TA (if known) (max 250 characters)
	General Systems Capacity Issues If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here: N/A	Assistance with addressing health disparities, ID the problems and ideas where to go	Nevada's minorities suffer health disparities and work is needed to address the problems	unknown
2.	General Systems Capacity Issues If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here: N/A	Cultural Competency Training for MCH staff	None has been done since 1999	Orvis School of Nursing, Reno, has a trainer.
3.	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:			
4.	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:			
5.	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:			
6.	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:			
7.	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:			
8.	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:			
9.	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:			
	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the			

measure number here:		
If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:		
If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:		

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

None

FORM 16 STATE PERFORMANCE AND OUTCOME MEASURE DETAIL SHEET STATE: NV

SP # 11

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: The percent of women of child-bearing age who receive screening and assistance for domestic violence should be

increased.

STATUS: Active

GOAL To increase domestic violence screening and assistance among women of childbearing age.

DEFINITION

Number of women of childbearing age who receive screening and assistance from a domestic violence shelter/agency in a

year.

Denominator:

Number of women of childbearing age in the state in a year.

Units: 100 Text: percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES State Domestic Violence Network, State Physician Survey, Bureau of Health Planning and Vital Statistics, other domestic

violence partners, police data. Data will primarily come from domestic violence shelters/agencies.

SIGNIFICANCE
Universal screening will promote greater awareness, knowledge, intervention, and prevention services to women and

providers, as well as provide indicators for child abuse and neglect screening.

SP # 12

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Access to preventive oral services and dental care, regardless of ability to pay, should be increased for children, youth and

women of childbearing age .

STATUS: Activ

GOAL To increase access to oral/dental healthcare forchildren, youth and women of childbearing age.

DEFINITION

Numerator:

The number of active oral/dental providers in the State serving the MCH populations in the state.

Denominator:

The number of women of childbearing age, children, and youth ages 0 - 19 in the state.

Units: 1000 Text: rate per thousand

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

Bureau of Health Planning and Vital Statistics, State Dental Survey, Nevada Dental Board of Examiners, State

Demographer

SIGNIFICANCE Oral health is a priority of the U.S. Surgeon General, and the number of available dental providers is of great concern to the

tate

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: The rate of child abuse and neglect should be reduced.

STATUS: Active

GOAL To reduce the number of substantiated cases of child abuse and neglect in children and youth ages 0 - 19.

DEFINITION

Numerator: The number of substantiated cases of child abuse and neglect for children and youth 0-19.

Denominator:

The number of children and youth ages 0-19 in the State.

Units: 1000 Text: rate per thousand

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES Bureau of Health Planning and Vital Statistics, State of Nevada Child Protection Services, Department of Child and Family

Services, State Demographer

Violence in our society is pervasive, and has the potential to change the quality of life. Children who are abused or **SIGNIFICANCE**

neglected at any age are susceptible to injury, substance abuse, lower education levels and in general a poorer outcome in life due to actions or inactions of others that influence their lives.

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Pregnancy among female adolescents ages 15-19 should be reduced.

STATUS: Active

GOAL To lower the percentage of births among female adolescents ages 15-19 in the State.

DEFINITION

Numerator: Number of live births to female adolescents ages 15-19 in the State in a calendar year.

Denominator:

Number of female adolescents ages 15-19 in the State in a calendar year.

Units: 100 Text: percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

Bureau of Family Health Services, Bureau of Health Planning and Vital Statistics, State Demographer

SIGNIFICANCE

Teen pregnancy is a major threat to the mother and infant. Teen parenting is associated with lack of completion of high school for the mother and results usually in a lower economic climate in which to rear the child. Nevada has the fourth highest teen pregnancy rate in the nation for the adolescent 15-19 age group.

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Access to specialty and subspecialty services available to CSHCN should be increased.

STATUS: Active

GOAL Increase the availability of specialty and subspecialty services for CHSCN in the state.

DEFINITION

Numerator:Number of specialists and subspecialists whose practices include CSHCN in the State.

Denominator:

Number of CSHCN accessing services around the State in one year.

Units: 1000 Text: rate per thousand

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

Bureau of Health Planning and Vital Statistics, Bureau of Family Health Services, State Physician Survey

SIGNIFICANCE

Providing appropriate, high quality care and referrals to CSHCN is important for the well being of the child, as well as the parents. With a small number of appropriate specialists in Nevada, parents have fewer choices in where to receive the most appropriate care for their CSHCN.

Increase access to enabling services that assist CSHCN in care coordination, respite care, outreach, transportation, case **PERFORMANCE MEASURE:**

management, and coordination with Medicaid, Nevada Check Up, and/or purchase of health insurance .

STATUS:

GOAL To have care coordination and enabling services accessible to all CSHCN.

DEFINITION

Numerator:

The number of CSHCN served by the State CSHCN program

Denominator:

The number of known CSHCN in the State Units: 1000 Text: rate per thousand

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

Bureau of Health Planning and Vital Statistics, Bureau of Family Health Serivces, Special Children's Clinics, University of **DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES**

Nevada Reno statistics, Medicaid, Nevada Check Up.

Care provided CSHCN on an emergency or "piece meal" basis is often less effective and more costly. Care coordination **SIGNIFICANCE**

and emabling services have been shown to better assure access to needed services, which in turn prevent the need for more costly in-patient care, while providing more comprehensive, family-centered, and community-based care.

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Homes for primary medical care, regardless of ability to pay, should be increased for children, youth, women of child

bearing age and CSHCN ages 0-19.

STATUS:

GOAL To have a primary care medical home for all women of childbearing age, children, youth, and CSHCN ages 0-19.

DEFINITION

Numerator:

The number of primary care providers available in the state.

Denominator:

The number of women of childbearing age, children and youth ages 0-19 in the State who have a medical home.

Units: 1000 Text: Rate per thousand

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

-

SIGNIFICANCE

Bureau of Health Planning and Vital Statistics, State Physician Survey, State Demographer

The American Academy of Pediatrics believes that medical care should be accessible, continuous, comprehensive, family-centered, coordinated, and compassionate. It should be delivered or directed by well-trained providers who are able to manage and facilitate all aspects of care. These characteristics define the "medical home". In contrast, care provided

sporadically and on an emergency basis is often less effective and more costly.

Access to mental health services regardless of ability to pay for children, youth, women of child bearing age, and CSHCN, PERFORMANCE MEASURE:

should be increased.

STATUS:

GOAL To have access to mental health services for all women of childbearing age, children, youth, and CSHCN ages 0-19.

DEFINITION

The number of mental health providers and services available in the State.

Denominator:

The number of women of childbearing age, children and youth including CHSCN ages 0-19 in the state who have access to

mental health services.

Units: 1000 Text: Rate per thousand

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES Bureau of Health Planning and Vital Statistics, Divison of Mental Health/Developmental Services; State Mental Health

Diagnostic, treatment, and preventive interventions designed to help improve the behavioral, physical, emotional, and social functioning of individuals with or at risk of diagnosable mental illnesses is a means of improving the mental health of all individuals by ensuring appropriate, high-quality services informed by scientific research. **SIGNIFICANCE**

The CSHCN program has been successful in assisting families to become eligible for Medicaid and Nevada Check Up (Title XX!), thus the CSHCN active caseload has decreased. In Nevada, the active CSHCN case becomes "closed" when the child becomes eligible for the Medicaid or Nevada Check Up programs, thus ther is no way of determining exactly how many CSHCN are receiving services - as those programs are unable to provide data specific to CSHCN. In addition, staff is working with the Real Choice Systems grant staff and Family Ties/Voices of Nevada to develop increased community support services, local access to information and referral sources, and provides advocacy services to enhance the availability of respite, transportatin and mental health services.

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

FORM 17 HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATORS FORMS FOR HSCI 01 THROUGH 04, 07 & 08 - MULTI-YEAR DATA STATE: NV

HEALTH SYSTEMS C	APACITY	MEASURE # 0	11
------------------	---------	-------------	----

The rate of children hospitalized for asthma (ICD-9 Codes: 493.0 -493.9) per 10,000 children less than five years of age.

	Annual Indicator Data					
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	
Annual Indicator	52.5	42.1	47.7	44.2	44.9	
Numerator	720	655	761	730	752	
Denominator	137,192	155,714	159,405	165,242	167,306	
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final	

HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY MEASURE # 02

The percent Medicaid enrollees whose age is less than one year during the reporting year who received at least one initial periodic screen.

	Annual Indicator Data					
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	
Annual Indicator	47.2	51.2	53.8	44.9	50.8	
Numerator	7,412	8,721	10,354	8,919	11,337	
Denominator	15,701	17,027	19,241	19,876	22,299	
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Provisional	Provisional	

HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY MEASURE # 03

The percent State Childrens Health Insurance Program (SCHIP) enrollees whose age is less than one year during the reporting year who received at least one periodic screen.

	Annual Indicator Data					
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	
Annual Indicator	47.2	14.5	65.4	39.5	37.2	
Numerator	219	192	540	5,541	3,064	
Denominator	464	1,328	826	14,035	8,238	
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Provisional	Provisional	

HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY MEASURE # 04

The percent of women (15 through 44) with a live birth during the reporting year whose observed to expected prenatal visits are greater than or equal to 80 percent on the Kotelchuck Index.

	<u>Annual Indicator Data</u>					
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	
Annual Indicator	69.7	70.6	78.5	80.5	75.9	
Numerator	20,934	22,001	24,156	26,957	26,581	
Denominator	30,015	31,163	30,767	33,468	35,022	
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final	

HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY MEASURE # 07

The percent of EPSDT eligible children aged 6 through 9 years who have received any dental services during the year.

			Annual Indicator Da	<u>ata</u>	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Indicator	27.6	28.4	22.7	15.7	15.6
Numerator	5,340	5,930	5,618	6,517	5,357
Denominator	19,353	20,859	24,784	41,429	34,278
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Provisional

HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY MEASURE # 08

Is the Data P

The percent of State SSI beneficiaries less than 16 years old receiving rehabilitative services from the State Children with Special Health Care Needs (CSHCN) Program.

			Annual Indicator D	<u>ata</u>	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Indicator	18.1	23.1	20.6	20.5	20.5
Numerator	626	863	638	953	1,054
Denominator	3,450	3,730	3,100	4,653	5,140
Provisional or Final?				Provisional	Provisional

Exact data not available from HCPF. Number of infants <1 year is based on Medicaid data that indicates approximately 12.4% of children are < 1 year. Thus, total number of SCHIP enrollees x 12.4% is the total number of infants < 1 year. Same number applied to the total number of children who received a medical service.

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

1. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #03

Field Name: HSC03 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

SCHIP was able to provide estimates for this measure this year from their managed care programs. Does not include Fee For Service children, managed care is about 89.5% of SCHIP population. Different method used last year to calculate rate, data from SCHIP program preferred method but unavailable in 2001.

2. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #03

Field Name: HSC03 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

This data comes from the Division of Health Care Financing and Policy. Their data systems over the years have not been as reliable as they could have been. The Health Division reports what DHCFP provides.

 Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #03 Field Name: HSC03

Field Name: HSG Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

This data is from Nevada's S-CHIP office. It cannot be validated.

4. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #07

Field Name: HSC07 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Data is not available for 1999, so zeros were used in order to save this measure.

FORM 18 HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR #05 (MEDICAID AND NON-MEDICAID COMPARISON) STATE: NV

INDICATOR #05 Comparison of health system capacity	VEAD	DATA GOUDOS		POPULATION	
indicators for Medicaid, non-Medicaid, and all MCH populations in the State	YEAR	DATA SOURCE	MEDICAID	NON-MEDICAID	ALL
a) Percent of low birth weight (< 2,500 grams)	2004	Other	7.4	8.5	8
b) Infant deaths per 1,000 live births	2004	Other	5.6	6.8	6.2
c) Percent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beginning in the first trimester	2004	Other	85.6	65	74.4
d) Percent of pregnant women with adequate prenatal care(observed to expected prenatal visits is greater than or equal to 80% [Kotelchuck Index])	2004	Other	88.2	62.9	75.9

FORM 18
HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR #06(MEDICAID ELIGIBILITY LEVEL)
STATE: NV

INDICATOR #06 The percent of poverty level for eligibility in the State's Medicaid programs for infants (0 to 1), children, Medicaid and pregnant women.	YEAR	PERCENT OF POVERTY LEVEL MEDICAID (Valid range: 100-300 percent)
a) Infants (0 to 1)	2004	133_
b) Medicaid Children (Age range 1 to 5) (Age range 6 to 18) (Age range to)	2004	133 100
c) Pregnant Women	2004	133

FORM 18 HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR #06(SCHIP ELIGIBILITY LEVEL) STATE: NV

2004	
2004	200
2004	
	2004

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

1. Section Number: Indicator 06 - SCHIP

Field Name: SCHIP_Women Row Name: Pregnant Women

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Pregnant women are served only if they are under 18.

Section Number: Indicator 05 Field Name: LowBirthWeight

Row Name: Percent of ow birth weight (<2,500 grams)

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Medicaid and Non-Medicaid numbers are estimated based on the percentage of the state overall number and ratio of last year due to the Medicaid data is unavailable.

3. Section Number: Indicator 05 Field Name: InfantDeath

Row Name: Infant deaths per 1,000 live births

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Medicaid and Non-Medicaid numbers are estimated based on the percentage of the state overall number and ratio of last year due to the Medicaid data is unavailable.

 Section Number: Indicator 05 Field Name: CareFirstTrimester

Row Name: Percent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beginning in the first trimester

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Medicaid and Non-Medicaid numbers are estimated based on the percentage of the state overall number and ratio of last year due to the Medicaid data is unavailable.

Section Number: Indicator 05 Field Name: AdequateCare

Row Name: Percent of pregnant women with adequate prenatal care

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Medicaid and Non-Medicaid numbers are estimated based on the percentage of the state overall number and ratio of last year due to the Medicaid data is unavailable.

FORM 19 HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR - REPORTING AND TRACKING FORM STATE: NV

HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR #09A (General MCH Data Capacity)
(The Ability of the State to Assure MCH Program Access to Policy and Program Relevant Informatioin)

DATABASES OR SURVEYS	Does your MCH program have the ability to obtain data for program planning or policy purposes in a timely manner? (Select 1 - 3) *	Does your MCH program have Direct access to the electronic database for analysis? (Select Y/N)
ANNUAL DATA LINKAGES Annual linkage of infant birth and infant death certificates	3	Yes
Annual linkage of birth certificates and Medicaid Eligibility or Paid Claims Files	2	Yes
Annual linkage of birth certificates and WIC eligibility files	2	Yes
Annual linkage of birth certificates and newborn screening files	2	Yes
REGISTRIES AND SURVEYS Hospital discharge survey for at least 90% of in-State discharges	3	Yes
Annual birth defects surveillance system	3	Yes
Survey of recent mothers at least every two years (like PRAMS)	2	Yes

- 1 = No, the MCH agency does not have this ability.
 2 = Yes, the MCH agency sometimes has this ability, but not on a consistent basis.
 3 = Yes, the MCH agency always has this ability.

FORM 19 HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR - REPORTING AND TRACKING FORM STATE: NV

DATA SOURCES	Does your state participate in the YRBS survey? (Select 1 - 3)*	Does your MCH program have direct access to the state YRBS database for analysis? (Select Y/N)
Youth Risk Behavior Survey (YRBS)	3	Yes
Other:		

HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR #09C (Data Capacity) Overweight/Obesity (The Ability of the State to Determine the Percent of Children Who are Obese or Overweight)

Data Source	Does your state participate in this survey/data source? (Select 1 - 3)*	Does your MCH program have direct access to this electronic database for analysis? (Select Y/N)
Youth Risk Behavior Survey (YRBS)	3	Yes
Pediatric Nutrition Surveillance System (PedNSS)	3	No
WIC Program Data	3	Yes
Other:		

*Where:

- 1 = No
- 2 = Yes, the State participates but the sample size is <u>not</u> large enough for valid statewide estimates for this age group.
- 3 = Yes, the State participates and the sample size is large enough for valid statewide estimates for this age group.

Notes:

1. HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR #09B was formerly reported as Developmental Health Status Indicator #05.

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

1. Section Number: Indicator 09A

Field Name: BirthDefects

Row Name: Annual birth defects surveillance system

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

During calendar year 2004, Nevada did not have an "active" component to its Birth Defects Registry, on ly the "passive" component.

Recruitment of approximately six months (including newspaper advertiseing) brought only one qualified applicant. The applicant was finally interviewed and the process is moving forward toward hiring an FTE for the position.

FORM 20 HEALTH STATUS INDICATORS #01-#05 MULTI-YEAR DATA STATE: NV

	SIAII	E: NV			
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 01A					
he percent of live births weighing less than 2,500 grams.					
			Annual Indicator D	<u>ata</u>	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Indicator	7.2	7.6	7.5	8.0	8.0
Numerator	2,170	2,372	2,436	2,704	2,799
Denominator	30,130	31,297	32,423	33,605	35,147
Is the Data Provisional or Final?	•			Final	Final
IEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 01B					
he percent of live singleton births weighing less than 2,500 grams.					
			Annual Indicator D	<u>ata</u>	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Indicator	5.7	5.9	5.8	6.3	6.4
Numerator			1,836	2,034	2,189
Denominator	29,278	30,331	31,460	32,523	34,167
Is the Data Provisional or Final?	•			Final	Final
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 02A The percent of live births weighing less than 1,500 grams.			Annual Indicator D	ata	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Indicator	1.3	1.0	1.3	1.3	1.3
Numerator	380	328	411	432	441
Denominator	30,130	31,297	32,423	33,605	35,147
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 02B The percent of live singleton births weighing less than 1,500 grams.			Annual Indicator D	ata	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Indicator	0.9	0.8	1.0	1.0	1.0
Numerator	275		305	317	329
Denominator		30,331	31,460	32,523	34,165
Denominator		22,30	2.,.00		

Is the Data Provisional or Final?

Final

Final

he death rate per 100,000 due to unintentional injuries among child					
To accuminate per 100,000 due to difficientional injulies afficing ciliful	en aged 14 years	and younger.			
			Annual Indicator D		
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Indicator			8.8	10.5	9.4
	r5		41	51	47
		6 449,993	466,923	483,936	497,677
Is the Data Provisional or Final?	<u>'</u>			Final	Final
EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 03B ne death rate per 100,000 for unintentional injuries among children	aged 14 years an	d younger due to motor	vehicle crashes.		
			Annual Indicator D	<u>ata</u>	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Indicator	r7.	2 2.2	3.2	4.3	4.
Numerato	r3	1 10	15	21	2
Denominato	r 430,41	6 449,993	466,923	483,936	497,67
Is the Data Provisional or Final?	?			Final	Final
EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 03C					
ne death rate per 100,000 from unintentional injuries due to motor v	2000	2001	ough 24 years. Annual Indicator D 2002	ata 2003 26.4	2004 22.0
EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 03C ne death rate per 100,000 from unintentional injuries due to motor v Annual Indicator Numerato	2000 r 20.	2001 2 18.7	Annual Indicator D	2003	22.0
ne death rate per 100,000 from unintentional injuries due to motor v Annual Indicator Numerator	2000	2001 2 18.7 7 55	Annual Indicator D. 2002 26.4 81	2003 26.4	

Is the Data Provisional or Final?

Final

Final

The rate per 100,000 of nonfatal injuries due to motor vehicle crashes	among children age	ed 14 years and your	Annual Indicator Da	ata	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Indicator	73.6	74.4	74.5	32.9	18.1
Numerator	317	335	348	159	90
Denominator	430,416	449,993	466,923	483,302	497,677
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Provisional	Final
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 04C					
he rate per 100,000 of nonfatal injuries due to motor vehicle crashes	among youth aged	15 through 24 years.		-4	
	2000	2001	Annual Indicator Da	ata 2003	2004
Annual Indicator	230.6	265.9	304.1	103.6	97.1
		781	932	330	327
	,	293,743		318,606	336,900
	<u> </u>				
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Provisional	Final
				Provisional	Final
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A				Provisional	Final
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A	case of chlamydia.	2004	Annual Indicator Da	ata	
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A The rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of	case of chlamydia.	2001	2002	<u>ata</u> 2003	2004
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A The rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of Annual Indicator	case of chlamydia.	22.6	2002 24.7	ata 2003 22.7	2004
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A The rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of Annual Indicator Numerator	2000 21.3	22.6 1,477	2002 24.7 1,795	2003 22.7 1,710	2004
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A The rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of Annual Indicator Numerator	2000 21.3 1,329 62,388	22.6 1,477	2002 24.7 1,795	ata 2003 22.7	
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A The rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000 21.3 1,329 62,388	22.6 1,477	2002 24.7 1,795	2003 22.7 1,710 75,305	2004 20.2 1,612 79,608
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A The rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000 21.3 1,329 62,388	22.6 1,477	2002 24.7 1,795	2003 22.7 1,710 75,305	2004 20.2 1,612 79,608
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A The rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000 21.3 1,329 62,388	22.6 1,477	2002 24.7 1,795	2003 22.7 1,710 75,305 Final	2004 20.2 1,612 79,608
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A The rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05B The rate per 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported of	2000 21.3 1,329 62,388 case of chlamydia.	22.6 1,477 65,353	2002 24.7 1,795 72,773 Annual Indicator Day 2002	2003 22.7 1,710 75,305 Final	2004 20.2 1,612 79,608 Final
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A The rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05B The rate per 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported of Annual Indicator	2000 21.3 1,329 62,388 case of chlamydia.	22.6 1,477 65,353 2001 3.1	2002 24.7 1,795 72,773 Annual Indicator Day 2002 7.1	2003 22.7 1,710 75,305 Final	2004 20.2 1,612 79,608 Final
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A The rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05B The rate per 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported of Annual Indicator Numerator	2000 21.3 1,329 62,388 case of chlamydia.	22.6 1,477 65,353 2001 3.1 1,193	2002 24.7 1,795 72,773 Annual Indicator Day 2002 7.1	2003 22.7 1,710 75,305 Final	2004 20.2 1,612 79,608 Final

Is the Data Provisional or Final?

Final

Final

FORM NOTES FOR FORM 20

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

Section Number: Health Status Indicator #04A

Field Name: HSI04A **Row Name:** Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

This measure has been improved by considering inpatient hospitalizations with codes consistent with injuries despite a lack of type of injury code (e-code) being provided thanks to help from Research Applications. Research Applications is working with our Injury Prevention Program on improving injury surveilance data quality.

Section Number: Health Status Indicator #04A

Field Name: HSI04A Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Data for all nonfatal injuries, aged 14 years and younger, are from Hospital Discharge database. Data are for all "E-Codes" listed in any of the 15 diagnoses. The increase in 2003 may be due to some hospitals changing their "E-Code" reporting system. Therefore, 2003 data may not be comparable to previous years.

Section Number: Health Status Indicator #04B

Field Name: HSI04B Row Name: Column Name:

Year: 2002 Field Note:

This measure has been improved by considering only Type 'A' or Type 'B' injuries from the Department of Transportation based on recommendations from Research Applications. Research Applications is working with our Injury Prevention Program on improving injury surveilance data quality.

Section Number: Health Status Indicator #04B

Field Name: HSI04B Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003

Data comes from Trauma Registry database due to under-reporting and inconsistencies in Hospital Discharge data. Actual data for motor vehicle, non-fatal injuries among children 14 years and younger may be higher. Data are for E-codes 810-825.

Section Number: Health Status Indicator #04C

Field Name: HSI04C **Row Name:** Column Name: Year: 2002

Field Note:

This measure has been improved by considering only Type 'A' or Type 'B' injuries from the Department of Transportation based on recommendations from Research Applications. Research Applications is working with our Injury Prevention Program on improving injury surveilance data quality.

Section Number: Health Status Indicator #04C

Field Name: HSI04C **Row Name:** Column Name: Year: 2003

Field Note:

Data comes from Trauma Registry database due to under-reporting and inconsistencies in Hospital Discharge data. Actual data for motor vehicle, non-fatal injuries among youths aged 15 through 24 years may be higher. Data are for E-codes 810-825.

CATEGORY TOTAL POPULATION BY RACE	Total All Races	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Native Alaskan	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	More than one race reported	Other and Unknown
Infants 0 to 1	34,731	17,059	2,858	448	2,017			12,349
Children 1 through 4	132,576	63,037	10,984	1,693	8,656			48,206
Children 5 through 9	164,802	83,183	13,000	2,298	10,021			56,300
Children 10 through 14	165,568	89,179	15,289	2,524	9,053			49,523
Children 15 through 19	165,297	89,065	14,674	2,710	9,319			49,529
Children 20 through 24	171,603	93,107	12,842	2,630	10,815			52,209
Children 0 through 24	834,577	434,630	69,647	12,303	49,881	0	0	268,116

HSI #06B - Demographics (Total Population) Infants and children aged 0 through 24 years enumerated by sub-populations of age group and ethnicity. (Demographics)

CATEGORY TOTAL POPULATION BY HISPANIC ETHNICITY	Total NOT Hispanic or Latino	Total Hispanic or Latino	Ethnicity Not Reported
Infants 0 to 1	22,382	12,349	
Children 1 through 4	84,370	48,206	
Children 5 through 9	108,502	56,300	
Children 10 through 14	116,045	49,523	
Children 15 through 19	115,768	49,529	
Children 20 through 24	119,394	52,209	
Children 0 through 24	566,461	268,116	0

STATE: NV

HSI #07A - Demographics (Total live births) Live births to women (of all ages) enumerated by maternal age and race. (Demographics)

CATEGORY TOTAL LIVE BIRTHS BY RACE	Total All Races	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Native Alaskan	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	More than one race reported	Other and Unknown
Women < 15	67	8	11	0	2			46
Women 15 through 17	1,260	330	152	14	51			713
Women 18 through 19	2,504	924	280	42	88			1,170
Women 20 through 34	26,565	12,242	2,090	330	1,894			10,009
Women 35 or older	4,430	2,297	239	45	498			1,351
Women of all ages	34,826	15,801	2,772	431	2,533	0	0	13,289

HSI #07B - Demographics (Total live births) Live births to women (of all ages) enumerated by maternal age and ethnicity. (Demographics)

CATEGORY TOTAL LIVE BIRTHS BY HISPANIC ETHNICITY	Total NOT Hispanic or Latino	Total Hispanic or Latino	Ethnicity Not Reported
Women < 15	21	45	1
Women 15 through 17	547	697	16
Women 18 through 19	1,334	1,149	21
Women 20 through 34	16,556	9,775	234
Women 35 or older	3,079	1,296	55
Women of all ages	21,537	12,962	327

HSI #08A - Demographics (Total deaths) Deaths of Infants and children aged 0 through 24 years enumerated by age subgroup and race. (Demographics)

CATEGORY TOTAL DEATHS BY RACE	Total All Races	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Native Alaskan	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	More than one race reported	Other and Unknown
Infants 0 to 1	219	92	53	3	13			58
Children 1 through 4	39	23	2	0	4			10
Children 5 through 9	11	5	2	0	1			3
Children 10 through 14	43	30	5	0	1			7
Children 15 through 19	119	63	17	3	4			32
Children 20 through 24	159	70	19	4	8			58
Children 0 through 24	590	283	98	10	31	0	0	168

HSI #08B - Demographics (Total deaths) Deaths of Infants and children aged 0 through 24 years enumerated by age subgroup and ethnicity. (Demographics)

CATEGORY TOTAL DEATHS BY HISPANIC ETHNICITY	Total NOT Hispanic or Latino	Total Hispanic or Latino	Ethnicity Not Reported
Infants 0 to 1	161	58	
Children 1 through 4	29	10	
Children 5 through 9	8	3	
Children 10 through 14	36	7	
Children 15 through 19	87	32	
Children 20 through 24	101	58	
Children 0 through 24	422	168	0

HSI #09A - Demographics (Miscellaneous Data) Infants and children aged 0 through 19 years in miscellaneous situations or enrolled in various State programs enumerated by race. (Demographics)

Is this data final or provisional? Provisional

CATEGORY Miscellaneous Data BY RACE	Total All Races	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Native Alaskan	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	More than one race reported	Other and Unknown	Specific Reporting Year
All children 0 through 19	662,974	341,523.0	56,805.0	9,673.0	39,065.0			215,908.0	2004
Percent in household headed by single parent	9.6							9.6	2004
Percent in TANF (Grant) families	0.4							100.0	2004
Number enrolled in Medicaid	210,559							210,559.0	2004
Number enrolled in SCHIP	39,416							39,416.0	2004
Number living in foster home care	5,849							5,849.0	2004
Number enrolled in food stamp program	57,781	38,152.0	15,788.0	906.0	1,722.0	146.0	1,063.0	4.0	2004
Number enrolled in WIC	538,856	119,295.0	60,572.0	3,204.0	14,550.0	4,727.0	12,191.0	324,317.0	2004
Rate (per 100,000) of juvenile crime arrests	3,993.0							3,993.0	2004
Percentage of high school drop- outs (grade 9 through 12)	6.0							6.0	2004

HSI #09B - Demographics (Miscellaneous Data) Infants and children aged 0 through 19 years in miscellaneous situations or enrolled in various State programs enumerated by ethnicity. (Demographics)

CATEGORY Miscellaneous Data BY HISPANIC ETHNICITY	Total NOT Hispanic or Latino	Total Hispanic or Latino	Ethnicity Not Reported	Specific Reporting Year
All children 0 through 19	447,066.0	215,908.0		2004
Percent in household headed by single parent			9.6	2004
Percent in TANF (Grant) families			100.0	2004
Number enrolled in Medicaid			210,559.0	2004
Number enrolled in SCHIP			39,416.0	2004
Number living in foster home care			5,849.0	2004
Number enrolled in food stamp program	46,703.0	11,074.0	4.0	2004
Number enrolled in WIC	214,543.0	324,313.0		2004
Rate (per 100,000) of juvenile crime arrests			3,993.0	2004
Percentage of high school drop-outs (grade 9 through 12)			6.0	2004

HSI #10 - Demographics (Geographic Living Area) Geographic living area for all resident children aged 0 through 19 years old. (Demographics)

GEOGRAPHIC LIVING AREAS	TOTAL	
Living in metropolitan areas	28,016	
Living in urban areas	583,066	
Living in rural areas	21,855	
Living in frontier areas	30,037	
Total - all children 0 through 19	634,958	

Note:

The Total will be determined by adding reported numbers for urban, rural and frontier areas.

FORM 21 HEALTH STATUS INDICATORS DEMOGRAPHIC DATA STATE: NV

HSI #11 - Demographics (Poverty Levels) Percent of the State population at various levels of the federal poverty level. (Demographics)

POVERTY LEVELS	TOTAL		
Total Population	2,366,313.0		
Percent Below: 50% of poverty	7.1		
100% of poverty	10.9		
200% of poverty	30.9		

FORM 21 HEALTH STATUS INDICATORS DEMOGRAPHIC DATA STATE: NV

HSI #12 - Demographics (Poverty Levels) Percent of the State population aged 0 through 19 at various levels of the federal poverty level. (Demographics)
Reporting Year: 2004 Is this data from a State Projection? No Is this data final or provisional? Provisional

POVERTY LEVELS	TOTAL
Children 0 through 19 years old	662,974.0
Percent Below: 50% of poverty	6.0
100% of poverty	14.8
200% of poverty	40.4

FORM NOTES FOR FORM 21

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

Section Number: Indicator 09A

Field Name: HSIRace_SingleParentPercent

Row Name: Percent in household headed by single parent

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Data comes from census bureau (for Nevada stats). This item is not broken down by race.

Section Number: Indicator 09A Field Name: HSIRace_TANFPercent Row Name: Percent in TANF (Grant) families

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

This data comes from the Administration for Children and Families, Office of Family Assistance. Their data (on the Internet) states that for Nevada, 16,426 children were on TANF. The .4% comes by dividing the 16,426 by the total # of children 0 - 19 in the state (662,974).

Section Number: Indicator 09A

Field Name: HSIRace_JuvenileCrimeRate
Row Name: Rate (per 100,000) of juvenile crime arrests

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

This data comes from the state demographer (denominator) and the State Department of Public Safety's 2004 report on number of arrests (Crime in Nevada 2004)

(numerator).

Section Number: Indicator 09A Field Name: HSIRace_DropOutPercent

Row Name: Percentage of high school drop-outs (grade 9 through 12)

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

This data is from the State Department of Education for the 2002-2003 school year. It cannot be verified. Nevada Kid's County for 2004 (for thte 2001-2002 school year) reports 6.3%, ranging from 0.5 in Douglas County to 11.6% in Storey County.

Section Number: Indicator 09A Field Name: HSIRace_FosterCare

Row Name: Number living in foster home care

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

This data is obtained from the Division of Child and Family Services. They state they do not track the number of foster children by race or ethnicity - only the number.

Section Number: Indicator 09B Field Name: HSIEthnicity_FosterCare Row Name: Number living in foster home care

Column Name: Year: 2006

Field Note: The Division of Child & Family Services does not track foster children by ethnicity or race.

NEW STATE PERFORMANCE AND OUTCOME MEASURE DETAIL SHEET STATE: NV

SP#

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: The percent of women of child-bearing age who receive screening and assistance for domestic violence should be

increased.

Numerator:

GOAL

To increase domestic violence screening and assistance among women of childbearing age.

DEFINITION

Number of women of childbearing age (age 15-44) who receive screening and assistance from a domestic violence

shelter/agency in a year.

Denominator:

Number of women of childbearing age in the state during the year.

Units: 100 Text: 1

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

State Domestic Violence Network, State Physician Survey, Bureau of Health Planning and Vital Statistics, other domestic **DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES**

violence partners, police data, clinics and county health department. Data will primarily come from domestic violence shelters/agencies and contracts with the bureau for MCH services.

Universal screening will promote greater awareness, knowledge, intervention, and prevention services to women and

providers, as well as provide indicators for child abuse and neglect screening.

2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 15 15 15 20

OBJECTIVE

SIGNIFICANCE

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Access to preventive oral health services for the Medicaid population of children, youth and women of childbearing age (15-

44) should be increased.

GOAL

DEFINITION

Numerator:

The number of oral health services providers received payment of \$1,000 or more from the Medicaid during the year.

Denominator

The number of children, youth and women of childbearing age in the Medicaid population during the year.

Units: Text: 0

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

SIGNIFICANCE

OBJECTIVE

2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
0.88	0.89	0.9	0.91	0.92

SP#____3

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: The percent of Obese women ages 18 to 44 should be decreased.

GOAL

DEFINITION

Numerator:

Women ages 18 to 44 in the Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS) with Body Mass Index (BMI) greater than

3000.

Denominator:

All women ages 18 to 44 in the Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS).

Units: Text: 0

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

SIGNIFICANCE

OBJECTIVE

Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS)

2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
16	16	16	15	15

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Teen birth rate (per 1,000) among Hispanic adolescents ages 15-17 should be reduced.

GOAL

DEFINITION

Numerator:

The number of Hispanic female ages 15-17 who give birth during the year.

Denominator:The number of Hispanic female ages 15-17 in the state during the year.

Units: Text: 0

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES State birth certificates, Center for Health Data and Research database.

SIGNIFICANCE

OBJECTIVE

2006 2007 2008 2009 2010

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: All infants born in the state will have a newborn hearing screening prior to discharge from the hospital.

GOAL

DEFINITION

Numerator:

All infants born in the state who received newborn hearing screening prior to discharge from the hospital during the year.

Denominator:The total number of infants born in the state during the year.

Units: Text: 0

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

SIGNIFICANCE

State birth certificates, Center for Health Data and Research database. Data from all hospitals in the state.

OBJECTIVE

2006 2007 2008 2009 2010

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: The percent of children and youth ages birth through aged 18 who died from unintentional injuries should be decreased.

GOAL

DEFINITION

Numerator:

The number of children in Nevada from birth through 18 who died from an unintentional injury.

The number of children in Nevada from birth through 18 years of age.

Units: Text: 0

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

SIGNIFICANCE

OBJECTIVE

Injury prevention database, Bureau of Health Planning and Vital Statistics and the State Demographers Office.

Reducing the number of deaths from unintentional injuries in children will increase the quality of life for children and families. This is a population based and infrastructure-building services measure.

2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.8

SP # _____7

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Increase the ratio of primary care providers to the number of children and youth ages birth to twenty-one and women of

child bearing age.

GOAL

DEFINITION

Numerator:

Denominator: Units: Text: 0

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

SIGNIFICANCE

OBJECTIVE

2006 2007 2008 2009 2010

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: The percent of women (18-44) who feel down or depressed should be decreased.

GOAL

DEFINITION

Numerator:

Women ages 18 to 44 who feel down or depressed in the Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS).

Denominator: All women ages 18 to 44 in the Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS).

Units: Text: 0

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

SIGNIFICANCE

OBJECTIVE

Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System

2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
30	30	30	29	28